```
<u>e 1:04-cv-11807-Ml W. Document 40-3 Filed 04/20/2005</u> Page 2 of 91
                                                        2
   APPEARANCES:
   JAMES GRUMBACH
    SHAUNT SARIAN
   SUSAN KOFFMAN
   ERIC GOLDBERG
   STEVEN FUGARAZZO
   AIDA GENNIS
   MICHAEL THOMAS
   Also Present:
 8
   Mike Dexter-Smith
- 9
   Edward Collins
   Stan Robinson
10
   Patricia Reinhardt
   Sheila Cuttell
11
   ANDERSON & KREIGER, LLP
   (by Brian S. Grossman, Esq.)
13
   43 Thorndike Street
   Cambridge, Massachusetts 02141-1764
14
   for AT&T Wireless.
15
   BROWN, RUDNICK, BERLACK, ISRAELS, LLP
   (by Scott Lacy, Esq.)
16
   121 South Main Street
17
   Providence, Rhode Island 02903
   for Sprint.
18
19
20
21.
22
23
24
25
```

```
DECEMBER 14, 2004
```

2 MR. GRUMBACH: Good evening. 3 Welcome to the Tuesday, December 14th, 2004 4 hearing on the Wayland Zoning Board of 5 Appeals. Tonight we have two hearings. first hearing is the 8:00 o'clock continued 7 hearing on the application of Sprint 8 Spectrum for any necessary approval, special permits, variances, as may be required to 10 appeal the decision of the building 11 commissioner, see letter dated June 18th, 12 2003 and to erect a 120-foot flagpole-style 13 monopole wireless communications tower and associated wireless communications ground 14 equipment on land not within the wireless 15 communications services district under the 16 17 Town of Wayland zoning bylaws Chapter 198, Sections 201.1.5, 203401, Article 6, SPA701, 18 19 701 1, 702, 801 table of dimensional 20 requirements, roadside business, minimum lot 21 area, frontage side, rear yard setbacks and 22 maximum height, 802 table of permitted 23 principal uses by district. 1001, 1001.2, 24 1002, 1002.1, 1002.2 and 1002.1.7, 25 the properties located at 135 Boston Post

```
4
```

```
Road which is in a roadside business
   district and it's matter Number 03-34. Good
   afternoon. Good evening.
 3
 4
            MR. LACY: Good evening.
                                       For the
   record my name is Scott Lacy. I'm with the
 5
   firm of Brown, Rudnick, Berlack and Israels.
 7
            MR. GRUMBACH: Where's Mr. Pare
   tonight?
 8
 9
            MR. LACY: Unfortunately Mr. Pare
   could not attend tonight's meeting, so I was
10
   sent in his place.
11
12
            MR. GRUMBACH: So this is a
13
   temporary change?
14
            MR. LACY: Yes. What I wanted to
   submit to the Board is that Sprint had
15
   followed up with respect to the BECO poles,
16
   and on October 28th I sent a letter to the
17
   Board of Selectmen. This is the copy of the
18
   letter and the attachments that were
19
   subnitted to the Board of Selectmen.
20
21
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                           Okay.
22
            MR. LACY: In essence the letter
23
   brought the Board of Selectmen up to speed
   in terms of the location, the application,
24
```

the fact that there was a number of

```
neighbors --
```

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, point of order. Is the letter submitted as an exhibit in this matter?

MR. GRUMBACH: I don't know. I received a copy of it. It went to the Board of Selectmen. There was a hearing at the Board of Selectmen.

MR. COLLINS: What I'm raising is that counsel is explaining the contents of it. If it is an exhibit then we all know what the contents are. That's all.

MR. GRUMBACH: Why don't we let counsel finish up, and I'll be glad to let anybody see it. Go ahead, Scott.

MR. LACY: Just briefly the letter requested that the Board grant Sprint consent to file to zoning for a site on BECO pole number 131. The Board of Selectmen did respond to the letter in writing, and I would like to submit both letters as an exhibit.

MS. KOFFMAN: Are there copies sufficient for all members of the Board?

MR. LACY: I do have copies. I

```
6
```

```
have a copy of all of the correspondence.
   provided one copy with all of the
 3
   attachments to be submitted for the record.
 4
            MR. GOLDBERG: We have the
 5
   October 28th letter. I have it in my
   folder.
 6
 7
            MS. KOFFMAN: I don't know what it
 8
   is he's submitting.
 9
            MR. GRUMBACH: It came to us in the
10
   packet, the October 28th letter. What is
   here is an October 28th letter from Attorney
11
12
   Pare.
13
            MS. KOFFMAN: A lot fatter than
14
   what we got in our packet.
15
            MR. GRUMBACH: It's got a plan.
16
   It's got some -- looks like it's got a deed.
17
   It's got a certified vote of the Board of
   Directors of State Street Trust Company.
18
   It's got another -- it's got an agreement
19
20
   between Boston and Maine Railroad and Boston
21
   Edison from 1962, and it's got a 1965
22
   agreement between the railroad and Boston
23
   Edison so that seems to be --
24
            MS. KOFFMAN: So this is something
25
   that we have received prior to the hearing
```

```
because our rules require things to be
submitted prior to the hearing so that both
Board members and members of the public can
see what's in it, and you're just giving us
a --

MR. LACY: Just a copy.
```

MS. KOFFMAN: One more copy.

MR. GRUMBACH: There's a

November 17, 2004 letter from Mark Long (phonetics) town counsel to Attorney Pare referencing a November 8th 2004 meeting of the Board of Selectmen.

MR. LACY: To summarize the contents of the letter which again was submitted to the Board just indicated that the town would not grant consent to allow Sprint to file zoning with respect to BECO pole number 131 and indicated be located within the wireless communications overlay district.

MS. KOFFMAN: I know that I've seen a copy of this. I believe we all got a copy of that in our packet as well.

MR. GOLDBERG: We have that, too.

November 17th?

```
MS. KOFFMAN: Yes. So that has
1
   been available for the public to see as
2
3
   well.
4
            MR. ROBINSON: As a member of the
5
   public, I'd like to say that I came in
   yesterday to see if anything was filed, was
   told that nothing had been filed.
8
            MR. GRUMBACH: I don't quite
   understand that. I don't disbelieve you,
9
10
   but we all got the letter. I believe we got
11
   both the letters in our packets that we get
   routinely, and typically we don't get them
12
   until they're filed and then typically
13
14
   they're in the file, so that's unfortunate.
15
   I would suggest you go in and talk to the
16
   Building Department tomorrow. I'm not going
17
   to ask Sheila to respond to it right now
18
   because I don't think she's taking notes,
19
   but they certainly should have been there.
20
            MR. ROBINSON: It's not easy for me
   to travel. It's not easy for me to travel.
21
22
            MS. CUTTELL: We don't do the
23
   research. They look through the files,
   themselves.
24
25
            MR. GRUMBACH: Right, but I mean,
```

```
normally -- forget about the cell tower
1
   case. Normally if something comes in and it
   gets sent out to the --
4
            MS. CUTTELL: It's in the file.
            MR. GRUMBACH: It's in the file.
5
   So it should have been, but there is a
   little difference with the cell tower?
7
            MS. CUTTELL: We don't do any
8
9
   research for zoning hearings. If people
   want to see the application, they have to do
10
   it themselves.
11
12
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                           But as to any
13
   correspondence that comes in.
14
            MS. CUTTELL:
                          Is in those folders.
   In the boxes.
16
            MR. GRUMBACH: Should be in the
17
   folders. I can't answer as to why it wasn't
18
   there when you looked, Stan.
19
   Mr. Lacy, thank you for bringing that to our
   attention.
20
21
            Now the purpose of tonight's
22
   hearing was a status report. I recognize
23
   that we had asked Sprint to look into the
24
   BECO towers and Sprint was weighing its
```

options, and in light of this latest

```
1
   happening has Sprint decided what it's going
 2
   to do?
 3
            MR. LACY:
                        In light of the fact the
 4
   town is not going to make BECO pole 131
   available in terms of proceeding with the
   zoning, there isn't any additional
   information that I can present to the
   Board with respect to the BECO poles.
   respective, it's no longer an option for
10
   Sprint in terms of an alternative to the
   present site.
11
12
            MR. GRUMBACH: So Sprint is taking
13
   the position that because of what it's done
14
   and what's happened that it believes that
15
   the BECO towers, all of them from Route 27
16
   all the way over, I guess, are not viable
17
   alternatives?
18
            MS. KOFFMAN:
                         We are not going to
19
   have a substantive hearing tonight at all.
20
   We're only going to have a status check.
21
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                          So I take it that
22
   means that Sprint is going to go forward
23
   with the proposal at 135 Boston Post Road?
24
            MR. LACY:
                       Correct.
25
            MR. GRUMBACH: Does Sprint know how
```

```
11
```

```
much longer it intends to go and what sort
 2
   of evidence it intends to put on?
 3
            MR. LACY:
                        Sprint is not intending
 4
   to present any additional evidence, so in
 5
   terms of when the Board could close the
 6
   public hearing from Sprint's perspective,
 7
   Sprint would not be presenting any
   additional evidence so if the Board
   decides
10
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                           Sprint is prepared
11
   to close the hearing?
12
            MR. LACY:
                        This evening.
13
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            Okay.
14
            MS. KOFFMAN:
                           What about AT&T?
15
            MR. GROSSMAN:
                            The same.
                                       For the
16
   record, Brian Grossman for Anderson &
17
   Kreiger for AT&T Wireless. We think this
18
   just buffers our original position that BECO
19
   stansions were unavailable.
                                 We don't have
20
   any further information that we'd like to
21
   submit on this particular application.
22
            MS. KOFFMAN: We had not given the
23
   public an opportunity in 135 to participate,
24
   so I would suggest --
25
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            I think we should
```

```
schedule another hearing and then the public
   can put in anymore evidence they want.
3
            MR. GOLDBERG: I don't think it
4
   should be more than a single evening's
5
  presentation.
6
            MS. KOFFMAN: Right, and then if we
   could schedule a deliberation evening for
7
8
   ourselves.
9
            MR. GRUMBACH: That's fine. So we
   don't have to -- I mean, we can decide on
10
```

MR. GRUMBACH: That's fine. So we don't have to -- I mean, we can decide on the next hearing tonight, and then at the next hearing we can decide a schedule for the deliberation hearing and the final decision. Mr. Collins.

MR. COLLINS: Thank you, 15 16 Mr. Chairman. Ed Collins, Plain Road. don't know whether this is consistent or not 17 with the prospect you just announced, but 18 19 counsel did say here -- what I'm asking is 20 whether counsel for Sprint, at this point 21 now tonight, is willing to curtail the 22 efforts it's made to look at alternative 23 sites between, as was said earlier tonight, 24 the center of town and I would say the 25 Weston town line?

```
MS. KOFFMAN: I'm very strongly
1
   opposed to doing anything substantive
2
3
   tonight since for -- since April we've
   agreed that each hearing is going to be
   simply a status check. I'm not prepared --
6
            MR. COLLINS: Susan, as far as I'm
7
   concerned, that's fine, as long as it's
   clear what's happening here.
8
9
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            That's fine,
                                         and I
10
   think my understanding from Mr. Lacy is that
11
   what has been put before us is the
12
   evidentiary showing of what's been done.
13
   did some investigation.
14
            They sent a letter and the letter
  was responded to. If Sprint has a different
15
   view and they bring it to the next hearing,
16
   then we may not finish off at the next
17
  hearing.
18
            MR. COLLINS:
19
                           Thank you. That's
20
   agreeable to me.
21
            MR. GRUMBACH: We've got to try to
22
   work with the procedure that we can all deal
23
  with.
24
            MR. COLLINS:
                         Absolutely.
25
            MR. GRUMBACH: In the event it
```

```
wasn't clear, that's the way it looks to me
   and Mr. Lacy. If that's not accurate, then
 2
 3
   I would suggest you submit something in
   writing before the next hearing. Okay?
   So ..-
 6
            MR. COLLINS:
                           That was a yes,
 7
   please? I'm just talking for the record.
 8
            MR. LACY: For the record.
 9
            MR. GRUMBACH: I interpret it as a
10
   yes.
1.1
            MR. LACY: For the record.
12
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            Now the next hearing
13
   is between Christmas and New Year's, and I'm
14
   not going to be here, so I'm not going to
   schedule it at the December 28th hearing.
15
16
            MS. KOFFMAN:
                          Calendar behind this
17
   piece of paper will tell you all of next
18
   year's dates.
19
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            Fine.
                                   It looks to
20
   me tecause there's a special town election
   on January 25th which is a normal night that
21
22
   we would be changing our second hearing for
23
   January to either the 18th or the 26th.
24
            I, personally, would prefer to go
   on the 18th which is a Tuesday. 26th is a
25
```

```
Wednesday. How does the Board feel about
1
   that?
            MR. FUGARAZZO: I agree with that.
            MR. GRUMBACH: Okay. Why don't we
4
   schedule? Why don't we schedule the next
5
   hearing on this for 8:00 o'clock on Tuesday,
                  Is that all right with --
   January 18th?
            MR. LACY: Point of clarification.
8
   Just trying to understand the timetable with
9
   respect to if the hearing was continued
10
11
   until the 18th and it was closed that
   evening, this way they have opportunity to
12
  present their case although it's my
13
   understanding that some evidence has been
14
  presented by the process, what would be the
15
   timetable in terms of when the Board would
16
17
  deliberate?
18
            MR. GRUMBACH: That's why I thought
  we'd wait until then to, you know, I was
19
20
  not -- I didn't really know what was going
   to happen tonight. I don't really want to
21
   set a final schedule tonight. I'd rather
22
23
   set a final schedule at that hearing when we
24
   see what the abutters have to do. It's
   clear to me what's going on, and we all can
25
```

```
1 just sit down. I mean, obviously we -- this
2 was consolidated with the other hearing. We
3 spent months and months on the other
4 hearing.
```

Obviously not everybody agrees with what was done, but I wrote a 30-some-odd-page decision, and the two properties are pretty similar from a telephonic perspective, if that's the right word, so I don't think we're going to need the amount of time to write the decision that we did last time.

about wanting to have a long period of time because I knew it was going to be a long, involved thing. We've had an awful lot of evidence which was taken in the consolidated hearing. Assuming we don't have an awful lot of evidence in the next hearing, I'm assuming we don't need an awful lot more time. We'll need a night to deliberate and then write a decision.

MR. GOLDBERG: If the applicant is indicating that no additional evidence will be presented by the applicants and we give

```
one hearing night to the abutters to say
   their last piece, why not just schedule
2
          At that point it will be closed, and
3
   all we have left is to deliberate.
5
            MS. KOFFMAN: The worst case
   scenario would be that we have to change
6
7
   that, so I feel --
            MR. GOLDBERG: Why not set in stone
8
   now what the closure of this thing is?
10
            MR. GRUMBACH: Why don't we say
   them 8:00 o'clock will be Sprint on
11
   January 18th, and then the next hearing date
12
  would be February 8th. You want to schedule
13
   February now? You know, we could certainly
14
15
  have a number of other --
            MS. KOFFMAN: If we're going to use
16
     a whole night, it will be a problem
17
   because we could run into problems with
18
   residents' applications.
19
20
            MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Chairman, could
21
   I have a minute to confer with Sprint's
22
   counsel about the timing of hearings and
23
   schedule?
24
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                           Sure.
                                   Does anybody
25
   in the public want to think about it?
```

```
1
            MR. COLLINS: I'd like to just make
 2
   a comment. I trust we have a representation
   here from Sprint at least and I assume from
   AT&T to the extent that things have not cut
   off this application by filing a complaint
   in the United States District Court.
 7
   have a representation.
 8
            MR. GROSSMAN: We haven't filed a
9
   complaint in this matter in 135.
10
            MR. COLLINS: Thank you very much.
11
   Then I hope we have --
12
            MR. GRUMBACH: Absent talking to
13
   counsel and changing that, you're not
   intending to put on more evidence?
14
15
            MR. GROSSMAN:
                           No.
16
            MR. COLLINS: I hope we have a
17
   representation though that these applicants
18
   have agreed to whatever continuance we're
19
   talking about here.
20
            MR. GRUMBACH: I don't think
21
   there's a -- I mean, a continuance has been
22
   granted in the past.
23
            MR. COLLINS: I know that.
                                         I don't
24
   want to face an argument somewhere in the
25
   future.
```

```
1
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            I think
   Miss Koffman is drafting a continuance now,
 2
   and it probably is helpful to schedule all
   the dates so we can factor them in.
 5
            MR. GOLDBERG:
                           Up to this point
   anyway it was agreed that this was a status
   hearing anyway, so there was no anticipation
   that there would be any substantive
   discussion.
10
            MR. COLLINS: I understand that,
   but I hope they're not going to spring on us
11
12
   at some later point that we did not timely
13
      as the present complaint has alleged a
14
   lot of fatuous allegations.
15
            MS. PATTON: Mr. Chairman, may you
16
   name the five people who are now on the
17
   panel?
1.8
            MR. GROSSMAN:
                            There's only four.
19
            MS. PATTON: There's four of you.
20
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                           Only four of us --
21
   well, have any of the alternate --
22
            MR. GOLDBERG: No, just the four.
23
   Michael is new.
24
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                           That's a good point.
25
   It's only four Board members. Eric, Susan,
```

```
1
   Steve and myself.
2
            MS. PATTON:
                          Thank you.
            MR. GROSSMAN: Can we have that
3
   break so I can confer?
4
            MR. GRUMBACH: What would you like,
5
6
   about five minutes?
7
            (Brief Recess.)
8
            MR. GRUMBACH: Mr. Grossman and Mr.
   Lacy, have you had a chance to confer?
10
            MR. LACY: Yes, we have.
                                       With
11
   respect to the additional information --
12
            MR. GRUMBACH: What additional
13
   information?
14
            MR. LACY: With respect to
   continuing the hearing until the 18th, we
15
16
   have no problem continuing the hearing to
   the 18th with the understanding that on the
17
18
   18th the hearing would be closed and a
19
   decision would be rendered by the end of
20
   January. We could continue to provide
21
   extensions up until the end of January with
22
   respect to this matter and filing of a
   decision.
23
                                Mr. Chairman
24
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH:
            MR. GRUMBACH: That does not sound
25
```

```
like it gives us an awful lot of time.
1
 2
                       It will be two weeks.
            MR. LACY:
 3
            MR. GRUMBACH: One thing which has
   been brought to my attention is that we will
4
   need to ask David Maxson to come to the
   hearing. We didn't know tonight that we
   were going to be scheduling the final
           We haven't contacted David Maxson.
8
   hearing.
   We don't know if he will be able to come
10
   here.
            Secondly, my understanding is he's
11
   still owed money from both applicants both
12
   as to the last case and this case. That's a
13
14
   serious matter. He's not doing this for
15
   charity.
             He deserves to be paid, so we have
16
   to have an assurance that you're going to
17
   pay him and that you have paid him, so
18
   frankly I don't think it's fair for you to
19
   tell us that you're not putting in more
20
   evidence and for you to tell us that you're
21
   going to give us 14 days to make our final
   decision, so I'm going to tell you on the
22
23
   record right now that I don't think that's a
24
   fair position that you're putting us in.
```

```
and talk to Mr. Grossman about it, and you may decide the same thing and so be it, but I really don't think -- I don't understand why you haven't paid his bills, and I don't understand why you're putting us under such a tight schedule.
```

I know it's important for you to move along, but we allowed you to suspend this hearing in March, okay? We've now gone ten months and all of a sudden you're in a rush and I don't -- I don't understand that, so maybe you'd like to go out in the hall and talk a minute.

MR. LACY: Okay.

MR. COLLINS: Can I comment before they do, Mr. Chairman?

MR. GRUMBACH: Sure.

MR. COLLINS: I appreciate your remarks and I would point out with respect to the 137 Boston Post Road application which was pursued hand-in-glove on the applicant's own representations for many months with the 135 Boston Post Road application, we now have a lawsuit in the United States District Court brought by AT&T

1 which contains a number of our allegations.

A number of them with respect to
this Board and some other people in this
room I regard as totally scurrilous to
understate the situation. I understand that
they want to make a legal argument. You
know, that's fine.

As I said to somebody else earlier 8 tonight, I'm a member of the Lawyers' 10 Benevolent Society and all of that, but a number of those allegations are scurrilous, 11 I think, and they relate to timing and 12 allegations of deliberate delay and that 13 sort of thing, and I don't want to see 14 15 either of these parties, AT&T or Sprint, try 16 at some later point to take advantage of 17 these disingenuous, dishonest arguments, so 18 I want to say that from my own point of view, and I'm only speaking for myself here 19 20 this evening, that's a real issue, so if 21 they want to talk about what they want to do 22 in the future, I think it would be great 23 they could factor that in. Thank you.

MR. GRUMBACH: Okay.

24

25

MR. DEXTER-SMITH: Mr. Chairman,

```
Mike Dexter-Smith, 8 Pinebrook Road.
1
   we started this whatever it was, two years
   ago you, yourself, said that these would be
   treated two commingled applications. We've
   now combined them as two separate
   applications. We, the abutters, have
6
   presented based upon 135 and put into the
   record and TCA very clear about evidence put
   in the record, and I would want some
   clarification from someone, a lawyer, that
10
11
   in fact, we as the abutters don't have to
12
   put all the same information that we've put
   on 137 in for 135 again.
13
            MR. GRUMBACH: Well, right now --
14
15
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH: You shake your
16
          Just a second if I may,
   Mr. Chairman. You shake your head, but we,
17
   the abutters, don't completely accept that
18
   we don't have to put all of the information
19
20
   that we put in on 137 in for 135 again, so
21
   that the record is fully, fully complete.
22
            MR. GRUMBACH: Thank you for making
   that statement, but I will tell you as a
23
24
   person who chaired the hearings, the
25
   evidence was taken from both hearings.
```

```
1
   were fully consolidated and as far as --
 2
            MR. COLLINS: Then I believe you
 3
   can make a decision now on those two things.
   There is no difference, as you said
   yourself, to 135 and 137. These towers are
   in falling distance of each other. They
   would fall on top of each other.
 8
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                           We're not going to
 9
   start deliberations now, but if you feel
10
   that way then you come to the next hearing
11
   and you take the position that no more
12
   evidence is necessary.
13
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH: I'm not taking
14
   that position for the abutters. We're going
15
   to wait and see what -- find that out, but I
   don't want to be stuck with one hearing and
16
17
   that we would be stopped at the end of one
   hearing if we believe that we've got to put
18
19
   all of that information back on.
20
            MR. GRUMBACH: You don't have to
21
   put that on. In fact, I don't -- my view,
   personally, is that if there have been any
```

```
circumstance or additional evidence, and I
2
   think the public should have the same
   opportunity to put in any additional
   evidence, and we want to have Mr. Maxson
  here to see if there's anything, in his
   view, that requires a change, and that's why
   for me it's precipitous tonight to say we
  will have one more hearing and it's going to
  be on such and such a date, and we're going
   to have deliberations on such and such a
10
11
   date and then, you know, we'll make a
   decision because I just don't know.
12
   don't have all the information right here.
14
            MS. KOFFMAN:
                          Mr. Chairman, I would
15
  be delighted to incorporate by reference all
16
   of the documents all of which are in both --
  physically have been submitted to both 135
17
18
   and 137's files. There are duplicate
   documents in both files.
19
                             The Building
20
   Department copied everything that came in
   and put a copy in both places physically,
21
22
   and I would be delighted to incorporate by
   reference the information that we heard from
23
   the public and all of those documents so the
24
25
   public is not forced to have to recopy,
```

```
re-photo, reproduce and we sit here
   re-hearing exactly the same information.
2
3
            MR. GRUMBACH: We shouldn't have to
   re-hear it, but if there's an easy way to
4
   put it in for the public, that's fine.
6
            MR. GOLDBERG: Would you entertain
   the notion of requiring the applicant to
   stipulate that the abutters' presentation
   under 137 applies in total, in full, for all
  purposes under 135 to satisfy the abutter's
10
   concern that their voices have not been
11
  heard?
12
13
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            Is there any
   question but that it applies?
14
   addressing that to both Sprint and AT&T
15
16
   which are applicant and co-applicant in both
17
   cases and certainly when I -- when we
18
   consolidated the two hearings, my
   understanding is it was consolidated by
19
   agreement, and all evidence was coming in in
20
21
   both hearings.
22
            MR. GROSSMAN: That's true and if
   it helps as far as the hearing schedule
23
   qoes, certainly. I mean, I don't think
24
25
   anyone wants to stand up and give the
```

```
presentation again and the applicants sit through a presentation we've heard before.
```

In the same respect hopefully we can then use that to help shorten the time frames a little bit as far as when we're going to be able to have deliberations and a vote.

MR. GOLDBERG: But your voice has been heard and we've been sued by your client, and I think it's more important for us as a Board and to abutter's concern that Sprint, quite frankly and respectfully, indicates whether there's consent or stipulation that the evidence submitted has, for all purposes, can be utilized for Sprint's application.

MR. LACY: To reiterate what counsel said, Sprint wouldn't have a problem with that stipulation with respect to the evidence that's been submitted.

MR. GRUMBACH: It was first

Mr. Grossman on behalf of AT&T, and then Mr.

Lacy, on behalf of Sprint, stipulating that
the evidence that's been put in applies to
both cases.

```
My memory, although it's been a
 1
 2
   while, is that most of the evidence was the
 3
   same except that each of the companies had
 4
   their own siting consultants, so there was
 5
   slightly different site acquisition
 6
   testimony, and there might have been a
   couple of other experts that were different,
   but essentially the testimony was the same.
 9
            MR. GROSSMAN:
                            The only experts I
   think that were different were the radio
10
11
   frequency engineers and myself and Ed Pare.
12
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            Right.
                                    They had
13
   different siting people who went out.
14
            MR. GROSSMAN:
                            Chris Dwight and
15
   Germy Squires and
16
            MR. COLLINS:
                           Ed Collins again.
17
   trust we have a stipulation that all of the
   evidence, whichever way it cuts, and whether
18
19
   there is an applicant, whether there was an
20
   expert for applicant A and a different
21
   expert for applicant B, I think the
22
   stipulation -- I trust the stipulation is
   that all the evidence that has previously
23
24
   been introduced in this case applies to both
25
   of these applications.
```

```
MS. KOFFMAN: And both applicants
including all of the evidence that's been
put in by the public, so that no one has to
put in all that evidence again.
```

MR. COLLINS: That's correct.

Thank you. 6

1

5

7

8

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KOFFMAN: Mr. Chairman, then perhaps only AT&T on the 18th we can simply deliberate.

10 MR. GRUMBACH: No, because I don't 11 think that we've heard from the public if 12 they don't want to put in more evidence, and 13 we haven't heard from David Maxson who is not here tonight who we haven't had a chance to call and tell that we're having another 15 16 hearing; that we are, you know, winding down 17 the evidence. Yes. Could you give me your name:?

MS. SELDON: Stephanie Seldon (phonetics), 151 Plain Road. Could I just understand in terms of on the public hearing issue, when you speak of a continuance to January, did you have before you tonight a formal application from Sprint on the BECO 131, or was it subsequent to the letter that

```
went before the selectmen? Do you have an
1
2
  application on the BECO?
            MR. GRUMBACH: There is no
3
   application. No application has ever been
4
   filed on the BECO tower. 135 Boston Post
   Road is the case that we're hearing tonight,
   and that is the case that's going to be
   heard presumably on January 18th.
8
            MS. SELDON: Some of the same
9
   public opinion that I think we all came here
10
11
   to express tonight even though many of us
   are from Plain Road, probably we would come
12
   again in January to express, if we're not
13
   going to have a public comment session
14
   tonight, we'd like to have it in January.
15
            MR. GRUMBACH: We're not going to
16
17
   have it tonight. That's fine. Give us your
1.8
   name.
19
            MS. SCHRIVER:
                           Kathy Schriver,
   3 Fields Lane. Can you clarify the request
20
   for a closed hearing that I heard earlier?
21
            MR. GOLDBERG: Not a closed
22
   hearing. Closing of the evidence.
23
            MR. GRUMBACH: We are not allowed
24
```

to have closed hearings. The open meeting

```
laws.
1
2
            MS. KOFFMAN: We have to close the
3
  public portion.
            MR. GRUMBACH: And the evidence.
4
            MS. GENNIS: So just clarify that.
5
            MR. GROSSMAN:
                           Clarify.
6
7
            MR. LACY: For the record again I
  was asking on the 18th if the Board could
   close the public hearing.
9
10
            MR. GRUMBACH: Meaning the
11
  evidence.
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH: Mr. Chairman,
12
   I'm sorry. Did we get an answer from AT&T
   and Sprint if they were accepting all of the
14
15
   evidence or partial?
            MR. GRUMBACH: That's the way I
16
   heard it, Mike. There is going to be a
17
   transcript tonight. You're welcome to look
18
19
   at the transcript.
20
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH: Because there
21
   was different people on different sides, do
```

MR. GRUMBACH: You know, I'm

assuming that as I recall we actually heard

we have to have those experts back again for

22

23

24

25

the public hearing?

```
1 from all the experts whether they were on
  135 or 137 before Sprint decided that it was
  going to seek a continuance, so I think
3
  we've heard from all of their experts.
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH:
                               I'm not sure all
5
   the abutters had a chance to have a go at
   their witnesses. If they will accept, if
   they will agree that they will accept all
   the evidence from 137 on the 135 file, I'll
10
  be quiet.
            If they won't, Mr. Chairman,
11
   suggest they got to bring back their -- if
12
   they want different witnesses they've got to
13
   bring back those witnesses so that they can
14
   be asked questions by the abutters.
15
            MR. GRUMBACH: You know, I don't --
16
17
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH:
                               I'm not trying
   to be a pain. The CCA very specifically
18
   says we -- this is going to go to court in
19
   one way or another. We need a file full
20
   that says 135 Boston Post Road, right?
21
   There's not one page in there that says, Oh,
22
   nobody turned up and nobody filed it, put
23
   any papers in this file. That is what we
24
```

are going to be measured on by a court of

```
law.
         Not --
2
            MR. GRUMBACH: One thing you should
   do between now and the 18th of January is
3
4
   you should go through the transcripts and
5
   the evidence and if there were any
   particular witnesses that you don't think
6
   that the public had an opportunity to
   cross-examine, then you bring that up.
   They've said they're not putting in anymore
10
   evilence, so they've made their position
11
   clear, and if you feel that that's
12
   meritorious because a particular witness
13
   wash't here for cross-examination, that's
14
   fine.
15
            If you feel that a particular
16
   rebuttal witness is important, go ahead.
17
   That's what we're going to do on the 18th.
18
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH:
                                Okay.
19
            MR. GRUMBACH: Anybody else?
20
            MR. COLLINS: Thank you,
21
   Mr. Chairman.
22
            MR. GRUMBACH: I think what I would
23
   like to do then is schedule -- oh, did you
24
   have a chance to go outside and talk?
```

MR. LACY: Not yet.

abutters and everyone else with 137 with 137

```
applying to 135. We can condense this time
1
   frame a little bit and save everybody a lot
2
   of additional time and effort.
3
4
            MR. GRUMBACH: Okay. I'm not sure
5
   I heard any change in your position. I
   gather you want to move along quickly.
   You're content to have the next hearing on
   January 18th. I didn't hear you say
8
   anything about paying the expert.
10
            MR. GROSSMAN: This is the first --
11
   I think this is the first I remember hearing
12
   about owing Mr. Maxson
13
   SO --
            MR. COLLINS: It's not true.
14
15
            MR. GROSSMAN: I heard Mr. Collins
16
   comment which said it wasn't true. Said
17
   first I remember. I didn't say it's the
18
   first time. It's been a long process, so
19
   I'll certainly -- I'll talk to my client
20
   about it and do what we can to get
21
   Mr. Maxson's bills paid. It's never been a
22
   problem in the past. I wouldn't anticipate
   it would be one now.
23
24
            MR. GRUMBACH: Well, I'm assuming
```

he will be spending a couple of extra hours

```
if he's going to be coming to the hearing on
   the 18th, and one of the questions I'm going
   to ask him is if he thinks anything further
   has to be done to render a decision on 135
   given this additional evidence you have
   brought in, and also I believe there's one
 7
   other change in circumstance. I believe
 8
   that the Weston tower has come on line.
 9
            MR. GROSSMAN: Which one?
10
   are actually two Weston police. The town of
   Weston issued an RFP and accepted two
11
12
   separate bids. There's the AT&T Wireless
13
   Sprint flagpole.
14
            MR. GRUMBACH: That's the one I'm
15
   talking about.
16
            MR. GROSSMAN: And then there was
   the Cingular monopole which was set further
17
18
   back.
19
            MR. GRUMBACH: But your evidence
20
   was printed based on the AT&T, Sprint
21
   monopole so I think that --
22
            MR. GROSSMAN: AT&T, Sprint
23
   monopole has not been constructed yet.
24
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                           Oh, it has not?
25
            MR. GROSSMAN:
                           No.
                                 It was what was
```

```
the Cinqular bid occupied by, I think also,
2
   T-Mobile and Verizon.
3
            MR. GRUMBACH: So then that has not
4
   happened?
5
            MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct.
6
            MR. GRUMBACH: Well, I still --
7
   what I'm planning to do is contact
   Mr. Maxson and see if he can come here on
   the 18th, and I have no idea what he's going
10
   to say on the 18th.
11
            I'm assuming he'll come even though
12
   he hasn't been paid, and I'm certainly going
13
   to tell him that we're going to expect you
14
   to pay him and to pay him quickly.
15
            MR. GROSSMAN: Could you ask
16
  Mr. Maxson to send me the latest invoice?
            MR. GRUMBACH:
17
                           Sure.
18
            MS. KOFFMAN: No. I will send you
   the latest invoice. The money has to go
19
20
   through the town. However, there is a small
   balance of about $316.876 in this case,
21
22
   thereabouts. In this matter there is a
23
   deficit of about $650 in the 137 matter.
24
            MR. GROSSMAN: 600 roughly?
25
            MS. KOFFMAN: Roughly, and at the
```

```
last -- I unfortunately, the last piece of
  paper I put in my briefcase I thought was
2
3
  the invoice, and I don't have it.
```

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At the last hearing or a few 4 hearings ago I had asked or at the last hearing on the other matter I'd asked that 6 each applicant provide an additional 7 thousand dollar deposit to the town from which we would pay that bill and this bill and whatever other bills, and you all 10 promised and the town never got anything. 11

I will send you the printout that I asked the Building Department to prepare, and I will send you copies of all of his invoices and a formal request for additional deposits.

> MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.

GRUMBACH: Well, what I would MR. like to do is I'd like to schedule the next hearing for 8:00 o'clock on Tuesday, January 18th, and I would like to not have to make an agreement that a decision is going to be written by January 31st.

Is it your position that that's the only way you will agree to the next hearing

```
1 on the 18th of January?
2
            MR. GROSSMAN:
                           Yes.
            MR. GRUMBACH: Well, let the record
3
   reflect that, and the record will reflect
4
5
   that in my view this is an outrageous thing
   that you're doing and its, you know,
7
   considering the fact that you haven't paid
   the expert's bill, that's just another
   activity that I think is blatantly unfair,
10
   but you represent your clients the way you
   see fit.
11
            Do we want them to sign an
12
13
   extension to that even though we don't
14
  believe that January 31st is a fair date?
15
            MS. KOFFMAN: Our alternative is
   that we make a decision tonight. Since that
16
17
   is status night, I'm uncomfortable although
18
   I suppose --
            MR. GRUMBACH: Can we draft it in a
19
   way that we agree to it and say that we do
20
   not believe the January 31st date is a fair
21
   closing date? It's certainly going to be on
22
   the record.
23
24
            MS. KOFFMAN: You want me to put a
```

sentence on our extension form?

```
1
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            Yes.
 2
            MS. KOFFMAN: I'm suggesting we
 3
   ought to put that into our final decision.
4
            MR. GRUMBACH: We can certainly do
5
   that as well.
6
            MR. GROSSMAN: I guess I'm just a
7
   little confused on the consternation of your
8
   January 18th. The last thing I heard was
   the abutters were going to have to do the
10
   presentation that they did for 137 again on
11
   the 18th, and then we were going to have one
12
   more hearing and then deliberation.
   stipulated that all that evidence is coming
13
14
   in, I'm a little confused on why moving to
   the 18th is problematic. I'm not
15
16
            MR. GRUMBACH: Moving to the 18th
17
   is not problematic. The problem is that Mr.
18
   Maxson, we have not contacted him. We do
  not know if he'll be there. We do not know
19
   if he believes there is any need for
20
   additional evidence. He's an RF expert.
21
  None of us here are RF experts.
22
23
            This whole hearing is related to RF
24
   issues so we, you know, I would feel more
25
  comfortable coming up with a final date for
```

```
the decision after Mr. Maxson weighs in.
2
   That's really the only concern I have.
            I'm not concerned that the public
3
   is going to come in and say they've got six
4
  more weeks of hearings. That's just not
5
  going to happen unless there's been some
6
  major change in circumstances that I don't
7
   see tonight, but I'm very concerned that
   this was a status hearing. We could have
   come in tonight, and this could have been
10
   continued again. Who knows what could have
11
  happened? And now you want us to set a
12
   final hearing date and a decision date, and
13
   to me that's quick.
14
15
            MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, we've had
   two written reports by Mr. Maxson.
16
   Mr. Maxson, from -- I don't know why --
17
   wasn't present at the deliberations for 137.
18
            MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, I'm
19
20
   going to object to this.
            MR. GRUMBACH: The reason he wasn't
21
   present is because we got an opinion from
22
   town counsel that we could not take evidence
23
24
   at that deliberation hearing, so if he was
```

there, that might be construed as evidence,

```
so because of the open meeting laws we
1
   essentially said, You give us all of your
2
   input at the last public hearing, not at the
3
   deliberation hearing, so that's what we made
   as a Board decision in light of input from
5
   town counsel and our understanding of the
   public meeting law.
                            Then maybe my memory
8
            MR. GROSSMAN:
   betrays me a little, but there was a hearing
   where we sat here as applicants on the Board
10
   waiting for Mr. Maxson who didn't show, and
11
   I thought that was the deliberations
12
   hearing, and no one knew why. I think Linda
13
   Segal tried to call him a couple of times.
14
                        My recollection was
15
            MS. SEGAL:
16
   that I tried to call him a couple of times.
17
            MS. KOFFMAN: My recollection.
   that the final hearing before?
18
            MR. GROSSMAN: Was that the final
19
20
   hearing?
            MR. COLLINS: It was what it was.
21
                GROSSMAN: My point is we can
22
            MR.
23
   get
24
                            My memory was there
            MR.
                GRUMBACH:
25
   was a scheduling problem, and somebody
```

```
didn't show up one week, and we came the next week.
```

MR. GROSSMAN: If we need to we can get it by written report if we need to. As I said, we're willing to work within a January 31st time frame. We're not married to the January 18th date. That's the date the Board has set.

11 |

21.

MR. GRUMBACH: Put it this way -MR. GROSSMAN: If it needs to move
a couple days one way or the other, I think
that's fine with the applicant to
accommodate Mr. Maxson.

MR. GRUMBACH: Well, it's difficult for us to have another public hearing before the 18th because of the holidays and, you know, we normally meet on Tuesday nights, and we already have hearings scheduled for the 11th of January unless I'm wrong. Yes, we do. We have three hearings scheduled, and we're starting at 7:30. I don't really -- it's not typical for us to schedule more than three hearings in a night.

MR. GOLDBERG: I'm a little puzzled

```
1 quite frankly why AT&T is controlling us.
```

- 2 We've already been sued by your client.
- 3 Sprint is the applicant who effectively
- 4 requested and has been requesting
- 5 consistently status conferences to apprise
- 6 the Board of the circumstances of its
- 7 | investigation and where it intends to go,
- 8 and it's conducted that investigation; it's
- 9 made its determination; it's made its
- 10 request to the town. The town has issued
- 11 its response, and AT&T is sitting here
- 12 telling us what we can and can't do, and I'm
- 13 not sure why.
- MR. GROSSMAN: AT&T Wireless is a
- 15 co-applicant so our signature is required on
- 16 the form you're about to ask us to sign.
- 17 I've done most of the speaking probably
- 18 mostly because Ed Pare is not here, and
- 19 Scott doesn't have the benefit of the
- 20 history.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Then perhaps we
- 22 ought to reschedule this for a time when Mr.
- 23 Pare could be here because that would be
- 24 more convenient to Sprint and to the Board
- 25 because he has history.

1 MR. GROSSMAN: I haven't heard 2 Sprint's counsel pipe up and say I've said 3 anything that Sprint doesn't agree with. I mean, we've conferred this was what we had 4 5 come up with as far as between the applicants what was acceptable to both applicants, and I've been the mouthpiece for 7 that, but Scott Lacy could have told you the 9 same thing for the last five to ten minutes. 10 MR. LACY: Just with respect to if 11 the Board's concern seems to be primarily 12 whether or not David Maxson has a need for 13 additional information on the 18th or 14 follow-up questions that can't be answered 15 within the confines of the public hearing on the 18th, would it be something where the 16 17 Board could foresee if David Maxson, on the 18 18th, attends the public hearing and has no 19 additional questions, that the hearing would 20 be closed and continue it to the 31st would provide the Board with time to render a 21 22 decision. 23 That might well be. MR. GRUMBACH: 24 I don't want to bind us and say that's going 25 to happen because it may not happen.

```
my concern. You know, I don't foresee what's going to happen, and I don't want to set a binding date now.
```

1.4

MR. GOLDBERG: And consistent with that concern, it has been Sprint all along asking for and requesting and agreeing with the Board to set up a status hearing at some point in the future.

If we, the Board, had any indication or impression that this is where the discussion would have been, I suspect we could have and would have had Mr. Maxson here to circumvent this very problem, but relying upon the representation of Mr. Pare or other counsel who is here on his behalf and your client, we're in this predicament because we're doing exactly what your client has requested. Bring it forward for status conference, and so now we are being penalized for that, in essence.

MR. LACY: With respect, if Sprint, on behalf of our client, did try to go the extra mile in terms of fully evaluating the BECO poles, contacting the town and more fully exploring the feasibility of using

```
that as an alternative, that did require
 1
   some time, and we appreciate the continuance
   to more fully flush that out, and as
   submitted to the Board in prior
 5
   correspondence and officially tonight, we
   did contact the town. We did follow up with
   the town with respect to that.
8
   Unfortunately the town took the position
   that it's not a viable alternative on BECO
9
10
   pole 131.
11
            MR. COLLINS: That was the Board of
12
   Selectmen, as I recall. Not the town.
13
            MR. GOLDBERG:
                           That is correct and
14
   I accept that nonetheless. We are here for
15
   a status hearing. We agreed to this date.
16
   Your client agreed to this date.
17
   counsel on your client's behalf agreed to
18
   this date for purposes of a status
19
   conference irrespective of what Sprint's
20
   determination was, irrespective of what the
21
   town's response to that determination was,
22
   so now we are being hand-strung by the
23
   postion that we've been put in in reliance
24
   upon being here tonight for status
```

conference and that is, to me, what is most

```
problematic by this procedural sort of conundrum that we are in.
```

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

If we had some indication or idea that this had to be something more or that we had any belief that Mr. Maxson should have been here to respond to these concerns, he would be sitting here or we would have requested he be sitting here, but he is not, and that is, to me, fundamentally unfair of what the position that you are putting in and that your co-counsel is putting this Board in.

MR. GRUMBACH: Stan, is that your tape? Ed Collins.

15 MR. COLLINS: I wonder if I might 16 make a suggestion to the Board through you, Mr. Chairman. My suggestion is as follows. 17 18 I think no reasonable person can think that a continuance through the 18th is not, in 19 fact, called for for all of the reasons that 20 21 you have recited and Mr. Goldberg has 22 recated and I think that I've heard from other Board members. The hang-up seems to 23 be how much time after that date the 24 applicants are willing to give, whatever 25

```
1 that means, for the Board to write a 2 decision.
```

My suggestion is that the Board might decide on continuing this matter to the 18th and that the agreement might recite that a decision is required by a month after the 18th, some reasonable period of time, not the two weeks that has been talked of here.

If, after the meeting on the 18th, the applicants are unhappy, they might be willing to consider a further extension; likewise, the results might be what they are at the time, but that would seem to alleviate the problem of anything happening before the 18th which seems to be essentially physically impossible, and it would alleviate the problem of requiring the Board to file a decision within two weeks.

I would urge -- I would urge the

I would urge -- I would urge the applicants to accept that view.

MR. GRUMBACH: A month after

January 18th, which is February 17th,

decision. If it happens earlier, it will

```
1 happen earlier. We don't have any desire to 2 hold onto it.
```

- MS. GENNIS: That gives the Board

 flexibility so that if Mr. Maxson can't come

 on the dates we would like him to come, we

 could still have the public voice any other

 concerns and then still have time to have a

 discussion.
- 9 MS. KOFFMAN: There are two
 10 alternatives. One alternative is that we
 11 have a hearing on December 28th. I don't
 12 think anyone on this Board will like that.
 13 I don't think that serves the public.
- MR. GRUMBACH: I'm not going to be 15 here.
- MS. KOFFMAN: We could have it on
 January 4th. I don't believe that serves
 the public because that's still in that
 school break.
- MR. COLLINS: The 12 days of Christmas.
- MS. KOFFMAN: We have a hearing

 date already scheduled for the 11th. It is

 filled. We already have residents:

```
have a hearing date on the 25th that we
  cannot hold because that's town election.
3
  By State law we're not allowed to have a
  hearing on that date, so what we're doing is
4
5
  we're adding in an extra hearing in January,
  a third hearing, an additional hearing just
  for AT&T.
7
8
           The problem is we may need -- we
  may use up that entire night talking or
  hearing from the public or hearing from
  Mr. Maxson, and I agree with you,
```

10 11 12 Mr. Maxson says I can't make the 18th, how 13 about the 17th? We have, in the past, 14 agreed and notified the public that we move 15 the hearing by one day or another, but if we 16 can't get it done on the 18th, and we 17 schedule another hearing for the 26th, the 18 Wednesday, an additional four hearings in a 19 month, five days isn't enough to write a 20 decision, so we need more time. We cannot 21 move it back the other way, and we cannot --22 we can't meet on other nights of the week. 23 These are the nights that the Board members 24 have agreed to meet on.

MR. GRUMBACH: Does anybody have a

```
1
   calendar? What is February 17th?
 2
            MR. GOLDBERG: It's a Thursday.
 3
            MR. GRUMBACH: Thursday. Do we
 4
   have an agreement?
 5
            MR. LACY: February 6th is a
 6
   Tuesday.
 7
            MR. GOLDBERG: 6th is a Sunday.
 8
   I'm not meeting on a Sunday.
 9
            MR. GROSSMAN: We wouldn't suggest
10
   that either.
11
            MR. GOLDBERG: 17th is a Thursday.
12
            MS. KOFFMAN: Well, it's the date
13
  on which the decision would be due.
14
            MS. PATTON: Mr. Chairman, I'm
15
   concerned in two points and with all due
16
   respect --
            MR. GRUMBACH: Why don't you just
17
18
   give your name for the record?
19
            MS. PATTON: Peggy Patton,
20
   43 Plain Road. I'm concerned, number one,
21
   that Mr. Maxson hasn't been paid. Quite
   frankly, I know he's very professional. I
22
23 l
   wouldn't come back until I got paid.
24
  wouldn't come back on the 18th. So they
25
  ought to pay these people.
```

```
MR. GRUMBACH: I don't disagree
1
   with you, but I don't know. You know, I
2
   suspect he's dealt with cities and towns
   before, and he probably is used to it.
5
            MS. PATTON: But the second point
   is this is a rerun of what happened before.
6
   They came in and said we want you to write
   that decision on such and such time. It's
8
   the same players. It's the same act. It's
10
   act two. We get it again. I just don't
   understand.
11
            MR. GRUMBACH: Gentlemen, have you
12
13
   made a decision on February 17th?
14
            MR. LACY: Actually what we were
   contemplating was just continuing it to the
15
   18th and then grant an extension until the
16
17
   end of the week following the February 8th
18
   meeting which would be, I think, the 11th.
19
            MR. GROSSMAN: If my math is
20
   cormect.
21
            MR. GRUMBACH: February 11th.
22
   think that will give us three weeks or so so
   that's --
23
24
            MS. KOFFMAN: We are extended to
25
   and including February 11th, and that's the
```

```
1 time within which we make a final decision.
```

MR. GRUMBACH: For people who are
not familiar with Massachusetts law,
Massachusetts law states that once a public
hearing is closed, we have 14 days to render

a decision.

7 Now there's some dispute about what 8 rendering a decision means. There are some 9 cases that say if we deliberate and reach a decision, that's rendering a decision. 10 11 Other cases or other lines of thinking are 12 that we have to actually write the decision and file it, and if we don't write and file 13 14 it within that 14 days, it's deemed granted, 15 so we're very concerned to not allow that to 16 happen because we, you know, we make our 17 decisions and if people don't like them we 18 can appeal them, but we don't really like 19 them to make -- to have permission granted 20 because our decision has not been formally 21 rendered in a timely manner, so that's what 22 we've been wrangling about. It seems 23 arcane, but that's the way it is. January 24 18th at 8:00 o'clock is the continued 25 hearing and the February 11th which is a --

```
1
            MR. GROSSMAN:
                           Friday.
            MR. GRUMBACH: -- Friday is the
 2
 3
   date for our decision.
 4
            MR. SARIAN:
                         What day?
 5
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            Excuse me. I didn't
   hear what you said, Shaunt. Thank you all
 6
   for coming tonight.
 8
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH:
                                Mr. Chairman.
 9
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            Yes.
10
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH: When you speak
   with Mr. Maxson what, exactly, are you going
11
12
   to ask him?
               Are you going to ask him just
13
   to attend, or are you going to ask him if
14
   he's going to introduce more evidence at
15
   that meeting?
            MR. GRUMBACH: We're going to ask
16
17
   him to attend, and we're going to ask him
18
   what happened with Sprint and the BECO
   towers, and we're going to ask him if, in
19
20
   his opinion, there's any other evidence that
   he will need or any other assistance he can
21
22
   give us in terms of the RF consulting
   issues.
23
24
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH: How will we,
25
   the abutters, know what that information is?
```

```
1
            MR. GRUMBACH: If he gives us
 2
   anything, it will be part of the record.
 3
   will either be in writing in which case
 4
   he'll submit it to us and it will become
   part of the public record, or else he'll
   come here on the 18th, and he'll have a
 6
 7
   chance to be heard.
 8
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH: Mr. Chairman,
 9
   are you looking to introduce the coverage
10
   that may or may not occur from the tower
11
   that will be erected in Weston? You raised
12
   it as if it was a new issue.
13
            MR. GRUMBACH: My understanding is
14
   that was taken into account in the previous
15
   calculations or estimates or
16
            MS. KOFFMAN: The coverage map.
17
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            The coverage maps.
18
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH: It wasn't
19
   actually in the drive-by because the tower
20
   was:n't physically there, so you can't have
21
   it in the drive-bys. The drive-by was what
   the drive-by was.
22
23
            MR. GRUMBACH: Who knows? Maybe
24
   there have been drive-bys since we closed
25
   the hearing. I don't know about any.
```

```
But you would
1
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH:
2
   accept that as new evidence into the record?
3
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                           You know, if
4
   somebody chooses to put it into evidence,
   unless there's an objection and some reason
5
   not to take it, I think we take evidence,
7
   you know, as it comes in.
8
                         Mr. Chairman,
            MS.
                KOFFMAN:
   anyone does want to submit additional
9
10
   evidence in connection with both this and
11
   137, would we want that to be submitted in
12
   advance of January 18th so that we, the
13
   Board, would have an opportunity to read it?
14
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            That's a good point.
15
   If anybody wants to submit written evidence
16
   for the January 18th hearing, you will need
17
   to submit nine copies of it so that the
18
   Board members can all have it and I would --
19
   seven days in advance of the 18th, so by
20
   January 11th.
21
            MR. COLLINS:
                          Clearly,
   Mr. Chairman, that doesn't relate to
23
   evidence that may be used to dispute
24
   evidence that's produced by Mr. Maxson or
25
   anybody else on the 18th?
```

```
1
            MR. GRUMBACH: You're talking about
 2
   closing arguments or something?
 3
            MR. COLLINS:
                           No, I'm talking about
   evidence. I mean, the ultimate test here,
 4
   the one you'll hear when we're all done, is
 5
   whether there's substantial evidence on the
 7
   record to support whatever --
 8
            MR. GRUMBACH: If you're planning
   on evidence to rebut evidence that was put
   in several months ago that's already in the
10
11
   record --
12
            MR. COLLINS: I understand that.
   No problem. I think that's fair. Suppose
13
14
   it turns out on the 18th that Mr. Maxson or
15
   somebody else says something that we haven t
16
   even heard previously?
17
            MR. GRUMBACH: If that happens,
18
   that's one reason that I did not want to
19
   establish a date to close the hearing.
20
            MR. COLLINS: I understand that.
21
   want to make sure we're not agreeing to
22
   blindside ourselves.
23
            MR. GRUMBACH: What we have now is
24
   we have a current date of February 11th to
25
   render a decision.
```

```
1
            MR. COLLINS: Right. Thank you.
                                                Ι
 2
   appreciate that.
 3
            MR. GRUMBACH: We're going to keep
 4
   that in mind because that date may not
 5
   change, and if so, we're not going to want a
 6
   lot of evidence coming in after the 18th of
 7
   January.
 8
            MR. COLLINS:
                         I just don't want
   opponents to be blamed for not producing an
10
   opposition to evidence that appears for the
11
   first time, should it happen. That's all.
12
            MS. KOFFMAN:
                           I'm sorry.
                                      We do
13
   have the opportunity between January 18th
14
   and in advance of February 11th to hold
15
   another hearing if we needed to, if we had
16
   evidence we wanted to discuss or talk about.
17
            MR. GRUMBACH: Yes. Stan. Give us
18
   name and address, please.
19
            MR. ROBINSON: Stan Robinson,
20
   9 Wheelock Road. My property is within
21
   900 feet of the proposed tower so I'm
   directly affected, at least as regards to
22
23
   the current 900-foot setback required by the
```

MR. GRUMBACH: You're talking about

24

25

zoning bylaw.

```
135 Boston Post Road?
```

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROBINSON: Yes, I am. It's a question. Based on something you said earlier, am I -- do I understand it correctly that you disregarded some of David Maxson's presentation because it came in on the wrong day?

MR. GRUMBACH: No. You did not hear that. There was some question about whether Mr. Maxson wasn't here one night and I don't remember, but in June or July of last year when we were scheduling the final hearing and the final deliberation, one of the hearings got changed because either we had given the wrong date or somebody had written down the wrong date or somebody didm't appear, and so there was confusion as to which hearing Mr. Maxson appeared at and whether he appeared at the deliberation hearing.

My memory is that he did not appear at the deliberation hearing because we were told by town counsel that we could not take testimony at the deliberation hearing and that if he joined us at the last hearing and

```
1
   we asked him a question, that was
 2
   essentially new evidence and we decided,
 3
   Well, that doesn't make any sense, so we
 4
   need his input before the deliberation
 5
   hearing. So that's what you heard.
 6
            MR. ROBINSON: Okay.
 7
            MR. GRUMBACH: Kathy.
 8
            MS. SCHRIVER: Kathy Schriver,
   3 Fields Lane. Do Sprint and AT&T have to
 9
10
   also submit their new evidence a week before
11
   the hearing?
12
           MR. GRUMBACH: Well, they said
13
   they're not going to submit any, but if they
14
   do plan to, yes, they do.
15
            MR. LACY: Mr. Chairman, if I
16
           If David Maxson has any questions
17
   with respect to the evidence that has
18
   already been submitted and/or the
19
   correspondence with the town with respect to
20
   the BECO pole, would it be possible for him,
21
   through contact of the Board, to ask us for
22
   any additional information so that we could
23
   respond to that before that public hearing
24
   on the 18th?
25
            MR. GRUMBACH: You know, that's one
```

```
of the things we'll pose to him is does he have any additional questions to you?
```

MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, if he knows them today, it would be helpful to know them today and we can hopefully respond.

MS. KOFFMAN: We did do that in 137. Mr. Maxson prepared a list of questions outside the context of public meeting and submitted them directly to the applicants who submitted answers directly back, and those all went into the public record for people to read, but we did use that.

MR. COLLINS: Presumably if this were to happen again the opponents, and we can designate a representative, would also receive, at the same time, that communication?

MS. KOFFMAN: No, it would be in the record.

MS. GENNIS: It would be in the record.

MS. KOFFMAN: It would be in the building.

MR. COLLINS: In other words if Mr.

```
Maxson wrote a letter as suggested by

counsel here, Mr. Lacy, you're telling us

that it would be in the record at the same

time so that any foolish member of the

public might, in fact, find it and provided

that the Building Department would make it

available?
```

8 MR. GRUMBACH: First of all let me 9 respond to what came up before because I've 10 spoken to Sheila. My understanding is that 11 in the past we've had problems on this 12 particular case because the pleadings are so 13 voluminous there may have been 14 misunderstandings in the past about 15 particular documents in the public file, and 16 so the Building Department has made a 17 separate policy for this case for these two 18 consolidated cases which is that they put things in the file and the public can look 19 20 through them.

They do not assist the public in terms of going through those files. It's not because they're not interested in helping the public out. It's simply that they are concerned that the public will say

21

22

23

24

```
to them, Gee, you didn't tell us this or you
 1
 2
   told us this wrong, so I think if you come
 3
   down and look at the files, you're not going
   to get a lot of assistance from the Building
 4
5
   Department.
 6
            If something has been filed, it
7
   should be in the file, but don't expect to
8
   ask one of the Building Department employees
9
   to assist you.
10
            MR. COLLINS: Fair enough.
                                          Ιt
11
   should be in the file, however.
12
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH:
                                So, Mr.
13
   Chairman, they get a copy sent to them.
14
   have to go in the Building Department to try
15
   and find it? That's the fairness of these
16
   hearings that they get a copy sent?
17
   get a letter sent to them?
18
                GRUMBACH: No, no, they don't
19
   normally get a letter sent.
20
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH:
                              Why don't we
21
   agree that they have to go and look at the
22
   file just like we do? Fair is fair in love
23
   and war.
             These guys are just as capable as
```

I am of getting in the car and driving down

here and looking through voluminous files to

24

```
try to find the letter.
2
            MR. GRUMBACH: I don't think that's
3
   the issue.
               I think the issue is if there
   was communication directly between them and
   Mr. Maxson which is what I understood the
5
   question to be.
7
            MS. KOFFMAN: Right.
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            It's not a matter of
8
9
   them coming down here, but Mr. Maxson
10
   communicates through us, so I don't
11
   understand why there would be any
12
   communication between them and Mr. Maxson.
13
            MS. KOFFMAN:
                         The last time
14
   Mr. Maxson sent the Building Department, the
15
   Zoning Board through the Building
16
   Department, a list of questions and the
17.
   Building Department forwarded them to the
18
   applicants who wrote answers and sent them
19
   back to the Building Department, all of
20
   those things are in the public record.
21
            If the Zoning Board were to take
22
   onto itself the burden or the responsibility
23
   of mailing a copy of everything that comes
```

in on this case to every member of the

24

25

public that

```
1
             MR. COLLINS:
                           That's very unfair,
 2
            I've been with -- everything you
 3
   said tonight I've generally agreed with.
 4
   think you've been exemplary until the last
 5
   comment.
 6
             People in the public -- I think
   this is clear -- want to know if the next
 7
   hearing is presumably going to be the final
   hearing, that they know at least as early as
   the applicants know, what's going on, and if
10
   that has to do with communications between
11
   the applicants and Mr. Maxson or Mr. Maxson
   and the Board, the people -- I speak for
13
   right now including myself and I guess Mike
14
15
   Smith and I think others -- want to know at
   the same time that everybody else knows,
16
   what 's going on, and I submit that anything
17
   else is unfair.
18
19
            MS. KOFFMAN: Mr. Collins, stop
```

MS. KOFFMAN: Mr. Collins, stop yelling at me. I am the strongest advocate on this Board of the public's right not only to know --

MR. COLLINS: I agree.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KOFFMAN: -- but to see things in advance. I argue strenuously that when

```
1
   we are handed things at hearings, we should
   not take them in as evidence at that hearing
 2
   because the public has not had a chance to
 3
   see them --
 5
            MR. COLLINS: I agree. You've been
   doing it all night. That's great.
 7
            MS. KOFFMAN: -- the situation that
   occurred before and that I assume would
 8
   occur again. Mr. Maxson had a date on which
   he had to submit, and the date was announced
10
   at this hearing at a hearing, that on, I
11
12
   think it was the 25th of whatever the month
13
   was, he had to submit to the Building
14
   Department, the questions that he wanted to
15
   be sent to the applicants so that they would
16
   be in the file. The applicants also had a
17
   date that they had to submit answers back.
18
   I would assume the same kind of thing.
19
            Those documents, both Mr. Maxson's
20
   questions, if he has any, and remember we're
21
   surmising that maybe he might have
22
   questions. Those questions would be in the
23
   Building Department files, and their answers
24
   would be in the Building Department files,
25
   and all of those things would have to be
```

```
submitted in advance of the hearing so that
 1
   everybody, including Board members, have a
   chance to read them in advance.
 3
 4
            MR. GRUMBACH: Everybody
 5
   communicates through the Building
 6
   Department.
                That was the message.
                                       So that
   means if the applicants want to get a copy
 7
   have to come into the Building Department
 8
 9
   and public, if they want a copy, have to
10
   come into the Building Department, so it's
11
   equal access through the Building
12
   Department.
13
            MR. COLLINS: Thank you.
                                       I agree
   with it.
14
15
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            Peggy.
16
            MS. PATTON: Mr. Chairman, just one
17
   question. Peggy Patton, Plain Road.
18
   remember when Mr. Maxson finally gave his
19
   report it was on line and we had to access
20
   to go in and get that.
21
            MS. KOFFMAN: We put it on line on
22
   purpose.
23
            MR. GRUMBACH: We specifically
24
   asked for that because we knew it would be
25
   long.
```

```
1
            MS. PATTON: Wouldn't it be nice
   for you to put it on line the questions
   Mr. Maxson asked these guys and these guys
   reply back? Couldn't we get it on line?
 5
            MR. GRUMBACH: We don't know if
   he's going to ask more questions.
7
            MS. PATTON: If he does. If he
8
   does.
9
            MR. GRUMBACH: If he does, we can
   ask him to put it on line,
10
11
          MS. KOFFMAN: It was the town clerk
12
   who made that decision or the executive
13
   secretary who made the decision of what goes
14 l
   on the website. We specifically asked last
1.5
   time.
16
            MS. PATTON: Thank you.
17
            MR. GOLDBERG: Could I ask a
18
   question?
19
            MR. GRUMBACH: Go ahead, Eric.
            MR. GOLDBERG: We have a hearing
20
21
   now for the 18th, and we have a deadline for
22
   February 11th to render a decision. Is it
23
   contemplated that the evidence is to close
   the 18th, and that we have a deliberated
24
25
   hearing before the 11th?
```

```
1
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            I think that's my
 2
   present anticipation, and possibly we'd have
 3
   a deliberation hearing on the 26th.
 4
            MR. GOLDBERG:
                           Okay.
 5
            MR. GRUMBACH: Although, you know,
   it's not cast in stone.
 6
 7
            MR. GOLDBERG:
                           We'll figure out
 8
   that date on the 18th.
 9
            MS. REINHARDT: Hi, I'm Pat
   Reinhardt. I live on 145 Plain Road, and I
10
   am a resident of one of the two houses that
11
12
   fall within 150 feet -- Joanne Barnett is
13
   the other resident -- of this BECO 131, and
14
   I don't need to hear tonight because it's
   already late enough, but I would like to
15
16
   hear off line from one of you how it came to
   be that that tower was proposed by the ZBA,
17
18
  but the more important question I have is
19
   just --
20
            MR. GRUMBACH: Let me just tell you
   right now. It was not the ZBA that proposed
21
22
   that tower. The ZBA, last winter, when we
23
   were starting the hearings
24
   or several hearings into the 135 and 137
25
  Boston Post Road hearings, said to the
```

```
1
   applicants, What sort of search have you
 2
   made within the neighborhood? And they took
 3
   the position that they had called or
 4
   contacted 50 private people and we said,
 5
   Have you checked any of the BECO towers, any
   of the series of BECO towers from
 6
 7
   Route 27 all the way east to the Weston
   line? And they said, No, we haven't, and we
   said, Have you read the zoning bylaws
10
   because the zoning bylaws give a preference
11
   for existing towers.
12
            MS. REINHARDT: I understand.
13
            MR. GRUMBACH: Exactly.
                                      That's
14
   what we did.
15
            MS. REINHARDT:
                             But more
16
   importantly, there's a lot of residents from
17
   Plain Road tonight, and I know this will be
18
   continued, but where does this tower stand?
19
   I'm just not clear where it stands in the
20
   process at this point.
21
            MR. GRUMBACH: You can look at this
22
   letter from November 17th, and you can look
  at the letter from Sprint, and Sprint
23
  basically said we're interested in this one
24
```

particular tower which is the one that's

```
right off Plain Road. Would you, the Town
2
   of Wayland, since you are a part owner of
3
   the land that it's on, give your assent and
   the Board of Selectmen said No, we will not
5
   give our assent.
6
            MS. REINHARDT:
                             I read both those
7
   documents.
            MS. KOFFMAN: BECO 131 is not in
8
9
   front of us tonight. It is not in front of
10
   us, so have to file an application for it.
11
            MR. GOLDBERG:
                            There is no
12
   application pending and I interpret from the
13
   comments of counsel and the substance of the
14
   letters that because the town, the Board of
15
   Selectmen, have refused to give consent
16
   because they own a piece of the property
17
   that the applicants effectively have
18
   determined that it's not a viable option for
19
   them, so I suspect that there will not be an
20
   application for that tower.
21
            MS. REINHARDT: So is someone going
22
   on the record on behalf of the client that
23
   they will not be going forward on this, and
24
   this is a dead issue for them?
```

I interpret what

MR. GRUMBACH:

25

```
they've said to mean that, but if you want to ask them that, you should either write to the companies or ask counsel.
```

MS. REINHARDT: I mean, everyone here, we're all -- Is it dead? We'd like to know if this is not going to come up again?

MR. GRUMBACH: If counsel wants to say further, go ahead.

MR. LACY: With respect to the correspondence that was submitted, in light of the town's decision not to permit Sprint to file to zoning, Sprint would not be able to file that -- any application for that particular BECO pole into zoning so no.

MS. GENNIS: Dead issue.

MR. LACY: The Board of Selectmen's vote, in light of the Board of Selectmen's vote, that site is not going to be brought into zoning on behalf of Sprint or any other applicant at this point.

MS. KOFFMAN: This Board cannot on the 18th of January, 11th of February or any other date, cannot make any decision that allows anything on BECO 131. It's not in front of us. It hasn't been filed. It

```
hasn't been publicly noticed in the
2
   newspaper. By law BECO 131 cannot -- if you
 3
   went home, you couldn't wake up in the
4
   morning and suddenly discover we approved
   the tower.
5
 6
            MS. REINHARDT:
                             I appreciate that.
7
   I just wanted to clarify that. I think
   there are other people in the room that
8
   wanted that clarified.
10
            MR. GRUMBACH: We had previous
11
   discussions with Attorney Pare several
12
   months ago where they were considering the
13
   various BECO towers, and we made it clear
14
   that if Sprint wanted to formally place a
15
   cell tower on one of those towers, they
16
   would have to withdraw the 135 Boston Post
17
   Road application and submit a new, complete
18
   application for a particular BECO tower, and
19
   that -- Attorney Pare understood that.
20
            MS. REINHARDT:
                             Okay, thank you.
21
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH:
                                I have one more
22
   question, Mr. Chairman.
23
            MR. GRUMBACH: Okay, but, you know,
24
   we have another hearing that was scheduled
   for 8:30.
25
```

```
1
             MR. DEXTER-SMITH: There are some
 2
   special conditions with regard to the
 3
   owner's use of that particular property.
   Will the Board be requiring the owner to be
 4
 5
   present at that meeting to answer those
 6
   questions?
 7
            MR. GRUMBACH: I wasn't -- I don't
 8
   think we were planning to do anything, but
   if you think that's an important issue, then
10
   -bring it up at the hearing.
11
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH: I think it's a
12
   very important issue.
13
            MR. GRUMBACH: Then bring it up.
   haven't really thought about this for a long
14
15
   time.
16
            MR. DEXTER-SMITH:
                                I think he's a
17
   witness we would like to talk with about
18
   exactly the use of that particular property.
19
            MR. GRUMBACH: Well, if you think
   that's important why don't you write a
20
   letter and then it can go into the record
21
   and, you know, nine copies by the 11th.
22
23
            MR. GRUMBACH:
                            Last question, Stan
   Robinson.
24
25
            MR. ROBINSON:
                            This is an honor.
```

```
1
            MR. GRUMBACH: Better be quick
 2
   though, Stan.
 3
            MR. ROBINSON: With regard to the
 4
   Building Department's determination that
 5
   it's not going to help any abutter who's
 6
   going in to look at the file, I'm wondering
   if there's a way that we can actually get
 7
   the file in a form that we can actually look
   at it and not upset the organization of it.
10
            MR. GRUMBACH: Go in and ask the
11
   Building Department.
12
            MR. ROBINSON: They're very
   discourteous to me. They don't want to have
13
   anything to do with me, and it's a very
14
15
   upsetting thing. When I went in yesterday
   there were two full boxes. One was marked
16
   135 and 137.
17
18
            The other one was marked strictly
19
   137 so I went into the 135 and 137 one, and
20
   I was told, Don't upset that -- Don't upset
21
   anything in that box. It's been completely
22
   organized. And I looked at it and it's not
   completely organized. Furthermore, I did
23
  not find the letters.
24
25
            MR. GRUMBACH: I'll tell you what,
```

```
Stan.
          Right now you're beyond my expertise.
   You're a tax payer in this town just like I
 3
   am.
        If that's the way that people in the
   town departments treat you, then maybe you
   ought to go talk to somebody in the town
   about that, but I think, you know, the
 7
   public should have a right to, you know, I
   think, look at materials that are on file.
 9
            MS. KOFFMAN:
                           I want to say one
   thing on behalf of the Building Department
10
11
   workers. I believe that what the Building
12
   Department said was not that they would not
   help, but that they would not pull papers
13
   from the files or make any assertions on
15
   what had or had not been filed because in
16
   the past one Building Department employee
17
   was not aware that something had been filed
   and that person said no, nothing's been
18
19
           The other employee had filed
   filed.
20
   something. She knew something had been
21
   filed, but the first one didn't, and that
22
   became a problem because person B who didn't
23
   know and hadn't done the filing, so the
24
   safer course was don't make assertions,
25
   invite the public to look for themselves
```

```
rather than say on behalf of the Building
 1
   Department and the planning Board what has
   or hasn't been filed. That's all. I don't
 3
   think it's a matter of that they said they
   won't help the public in this case.
 5
   don't want to -- they don't want to pull
   papers.
 8
            MR. GRUMBACH: Stan, I am a hundred
   percent certain if you went into the
 9
10
   Building Department tomorrow that Norma and
   Sheila and Dan would be very polite to you,
11
   but if you don't find that's true then, you
12
13
   know, you're a tax payer. You do what you
   think is appropriate. Anyway, thanks all
14
   for coming tonight. This hearing is
15
   continued to the 18th of January.
16
17
            (Whereupon the deposition
18
             suspended at 9:38 p.m.)
19
20
21
22
```

23

24

25

1	Commonwealth of Manager	Page 80
2	Commonwealth of Massachusetts.	
3	South Middlesex, ss.	
1		
4		
_	I, Teresa E. Costello, Notary	
5	Public in and for the Commonwealth of	
	Massachusetts, do hereby certify that	
6	there came before me on the 14th day of	
	December, 2004, A hearing of the Zoning	
7	Board of Appeals of Wayland, Massachusetts,	
	that the ensuing hearing was reported	
8	stenographically by me and transcribed into	
	typewriting under my direction and control;	
9	and that the within transcript is a true	
	record.	
10		
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto	
	set my hand and affixed my seal of office	
12	this 26th day of December, 2004, at	
	Framingham.	
13		
14		
15		
16	Tenesa E. Costello	
	Teresa E. Costello	•
17	CSR #1452S98	
	Registered Professional Reporter	ļ
18	Notary Public	
	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	
19	My Commission	ļ
20	Expires: 5/29/09	
21		
22		•
23		
•		
24		
25		
		†

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A	allegations 19:14	75:17,18	37:18 52:21 53:23
able 2 : 9 28:6 35:22	23:1,11,13	applications 17:19	53:24 63:11 66:19
74:12	alleged 19:13	24:4,6 29:25 51:25	68:17 70:4
	alleviate 50:15,18	applies 27:9,14 28:24	balance 38:21
Absent 18:12	allow 7:16 55:15	29:24	Barnett 71:12
Absolutely 13:24	allowed 22:8 31:24	applying 36:1	
abutter 77:5	52:3		based 24:7 37:20
abutters 15:24 17:1		appreciate 22:18	61:3
24:6,11,18 25:14	allows 74:24	48:2 60:2 75:6	basically 72:24
27:8 33:6,15 35:25	alternate 19:21	apprise 45:5	BECO 4:16 5:18 7:17
41:9 56:25	alternative 10:10	appropriate 79:14	9:24 10:4,8,15
abutter's 27:10 28:11	12:22 40:15 48:1,9	approval 3:8	11:18 30:24 31:2,5
accept 24:18 33:7,8	51:10	approved 75:4	47:24 48:9 56:18
48:14 50:21 58:2	alternatives 10:17	April 13:3	62:20 71:13 72:5,6
acceptable 46:6	51:10	arcane 55:23	73:8 74:14,24 75:2
accepted 37:11	amount 16:11	area 3:21	75:13,18
accepting 32:14	Anderson 2:12 11:16	argue 67:25	behalf 28:22,23 47:15
access 69:11,19	and/or 62:18	argument 18:24 23:6	47:22 48:17 73:22
accommodate 44:13	announced 12:18	arguments 23:17	74:19 78:10 79:1
account 57:14	68:10	59:2	belief 49:5
accurate 14:2	answer 9:17 32:13	Article 3:18	believe 7:22 8:10
acquisition 29:5	76:5	asked 9:23 33:15	25:2,18,25 37:6,7
act 19:13 54:9,10	answered 46:14	39:5,6,13 62:1	40:14,21 51:17
activity 40:9	answers 63:10 66:18	69:24 70:3,14	78:11
adding 52:5	68:17,23	asking 12:19 32:8	believes 10:14 41:20
additional 10:6 11:4	anticipate 36:22	47:6	benefit 45:19
11:8 16:24 20:11,12	anticipation 19:7	assent 73:3,5	Benevolent 23:10
26:1,3 36:3 37:5	71:2	assertions 78:14,24	Berlack 2:15 4:6
39:7,15 41:21 46:13	anybody 5:15 17:24	assist 64:21 65:9	betrays 43:9
46:19 52:6,18 58:9	34:19 52:25 58:15	assistance 56:21 65:4	Better 77:1
62:22:63:2	58:25	associated 3:14	beyond 78:1
address 60:18	anymore 12:2 34:9	assume 18:3 68:8,18	bid 38:1
addressing 27:15	35:8	assuming 16:18,20	bids 37:12
	anyway 19:6,7 79:14	32:25 36:24 38:11	bill 39:9,9 40:8
advance 58:12,19	appeal 3:10 55:18	assurance 21:16	bills 22:4 36:21 39:10
60:14 67:25 69:1,3	Appeals 1:1 3:5 80:7	attachments 4:19 6:3	bind 46:24
advantage 23:16	appear 61:17,21	attend 4:10 56:13,17	binding 47:3
advocate 67:20	APPEARANCES 2:1	attends 46:18	bit 28:5 35:22 36:2
affixed 80:11	appeared 61:18,19	attention 9:20 21:4	blamed 60:9
afternoon 4:3	appears 60:10	Attorney 6:11 7:10	blatantly 40:9
ago 24:3 39:5 59:10	applicant 16:23 27:7	75:11,19	blindside 59:22
75:12:	27:16 29:19,20,21	AT&T 1:8 2:14 11:14	Board 1:1 3:4 4:15
agree 15:3 33:8 39:25	39:7 44:12 45:3	11:17 18:4 22:25	4:18,20,23 5:6,8,17
40:20 46:3 52:11	74:20	23:15 27:15 28:22	
65:21 67:23 68:5		4	5:19,24 6:17 7:3,12
69:13	applicants 16:25 18:17 21:12 28:1	30:8 32:13 37:12,20	7:15 10:8 11:5,8
agreeable 13:20		37:22 45:1,11,14	15:1,16 19:25 23:3
agreed 13:4 18:18	30:1 43:10 46:6,7	52:7 62:9	28:11 32:8 35:16
19:6 48:15,16,17	49:25 50:11,21	available 8:2 10:5	43:5,10 44:8 45:6
52:14,24 67:3	63:10 66:18 67:10	64:7	45:24 46:17,21 47:7
agreeing 47:6 59:21	67:12 68:15,16 69:7	aware 78:17	47:9 48:4,11 49:12
agreement 6:19,22	72:1 73:17	awful 16:16,18,20	49:16,23 50:1,3,19
27:20 39:22 50:5	applicant's 22:22	21:1	51:3,12 52:23 58:13
53:4	application 3:7 4:24	В	58:18 62:21 66:15
agrees 16:5	9:10 11:21 18:5		66:21 67:13,21 69:2
ahead 5:15 34:16	22:20,24 28:16	B 29:21 78:22	73:4,14 74:16,17,21
70:19:74:8	30:24 31:2,4,4	back 25:19 32:22	76:4 79:2 80:7
AIDA 2:5	73:10,12,20 74:13	33:12,14 35:3,7	Board's 46:11

<u> </u>			. .
Boston 3:25 6:20,20	certified 6:17	59:2	conducted 45.9
6:22 10:23 22:20,23	certify 80:5	closure 17:9	conducted 45:8
31:5 33:21 61:1	chaired 24:24	Collins 2:9 5:2,9	confer 17:21 20:4,9
71:25 75:16	Chairman 5:2 12:16		conference 47:19
box 77:21	17:20 19:15 20:24	12:14,15,16 13:6,19	
boxes 9:15 77:16		13:24 14:6 18:1,10	conferences 45:5
	22:16 23:25 24:17	18:16,23 19:10	conferred 46:4
break 20:4 51:19	26:14 30:7 32:12	22:15,18 25:2 29:16	confines 46:15
Brian 2:12 11:16	33:11 34:21 42:19	29:16 30:5 34:20	confused 41:7,14
Brief 20:7 35:6	49:17 53:14 56:8	36:14,15 42:19	confusion 61:17
briefcase 39:2	57:8 58:8,22 62:15	43:21 48:11 49:14	connection 58:10
briefly 5:16	65:13 69:16 75:22	49:15 51:20 58:21	conscious 16:13
bring 13:16 33:12,14	chance 20:9 30:14	59:3,12,20 60:1,8	consent 5:18 7:16
34:8 47:18 76:10,13	33:6 34:24 57:7	63:14,25 65:10 67:1	28:13 73:15
bringing 9:19	68:3 69:3	67:19,23 68:5 69:13	consider 50:12
brought 4:23 21:4	change 4:13 17:6	combined 24:5	considering 40:7
22:25 37:6 74:18	25:25 26:6 36:5	come 21:5,9 25:10	75:12
Brown 2:15 4:6	37:7 42:7 60:5	31:12 37:8 38:8,11	consistent 12:17 47:4
bu 1:23	changed 61:14	42:4,10 46:5 51:4,5	consistently 45:5
buffers 11:18	changes 25:23	53:23,24 57:6 65:2	consolidated 1:6 16:2
building 3:10 8:16	changing 14:22 18:13	69:8,10 74:6	16:17 25:1 27:18,19
26:19 39:13 63:24	Chapter 3:17	comes 9:2,13 58:7	64:18
64:6,16 65:4,8,14	charity 21:15	66:23	consternation 41:7
66:14,15,17,19	check 10:20 13:5	comfortable 41:25	constructed 37:23
68:13,23,24 69:5,8	checked 72:5	coming 27:20 37:1	construed 42:25
69:10,11 77:4,11	chooses 58:4	41:13,25 56:7 60:6	consultants 29:4
78:10,11,16 79:1,10	Chris 29:14	66:9 79:15	consulting 56:22
burden 66:22	Christmas 14:13	comment 18:2 22:15	contact 38:7 48:6
business 3:20 4:1	51:21	31:14 36:16 67:5	62:21
bylaw 50:24	Cingular 37:17 38:1	comments 73:13	contacted 21:8 41:18
bylaws 3:17 72:9,10	circumstance 26:1	commingled 24:4	72:4
	37:7	Commission 80:19	contacting 47:24
<u>C</u>	circumstances 25:23	commissioner 3:11	contains 23:1
calculations 57:15	42:7 45:6	Commonwealth 80:1	contemplated 70:23
calendar 14:16 53:1	circumvent 47:13	80:5,18	contemplating 54:15
call 30:15 43:14,16	cities 54:3	communicates 66:10	content 36:7
called 49:20 72:3	clarification 15:8	69:5	contents 5:10,12 7:14
Cambridge 2:13	24:10	communication	context 63:8
can't 9 17 45:12	clarified 75:9	63:18 66:4,12	continuance 18:18,21
46:14 51:4 52:12,16	clarify 31:20 32:5,6	communications	19:2 30:22 33:3
52:22 57:20	75:7	3:13,14,16 7:19	35:17 48:2 49:19
capable 65:23	clear 13:8 14:1 15:25	67:11	continue 20:20 46:20
car 65:24	24:8 34:11 67:7	companies 29:3 74:3	continued 3:6 15:10
case 9:2 15:13 17:5	72:19 75:13	Company 6:18	42:11 55:24 72:18
21:13,13 29:24 31:6	Clearly 58:21	complaint 18:5,9	79:16
31:7 38:21 57:3	clerk 70:11	19:13	continuing 20:15,16
64:12,17 66:24 79:5	client 28:10 36:19	complete 24:21 75:17	50:4 54:15
cases 27:17 28:25	45:2 47:16,17,22	completely 24:18	control 80:8
55:9, 1 64:18	48:16 73:22	77:21,23	controlling 45:1
cast 71:6	clients 40:10	concern 27:11 28:11	conundrum 49:2
CCA 33:18	client's 48:17	42:2 46:11 47:1,5	convenient 45:24
cell 9:1.7 75:15	close 11:5,11 32:2,9	concerned 13:7 42:3	copied 26:20
center 12:24	59:19 70:23	42:8 53:15,20 55:15	copies 5:23,25 39:14
certain 79:9	closed 15:11 17:3	64:25	58:17 76:22
certainly 8:19 17:14	20:18 31:21,22,25	concerns 49:6 51:7	copy 4:18 5:6 6:1,2
27:17,24 36:19	46:20 55:5 57:24	condense 36:1	7:6,7,22,22 26:21
38:12 40:22 41:4	closing 31:23 40:22	conditions 76:2	65:13,16 66:23 69:7
		·	

Case 1:04-cv-11807-MLW

69:9	deal 13:22	20:24 23:25 24:1,15	42:7,17 44:21 46:24
correct 10:24 30:5	dealt 54:3	25:13 32:12,20 33:5	47:1,2 51:1,11,13
38:5 48:13 54:20	December 1:20 3:1,3	33:17 34:18 56:8,10	51:17 53:17 54:1,2
correctly 61:5	14:15 51:11 80:6,12	56:24 57:8,18 58:1	54:10 55:13,17,18
correspondence 6:1	decide 12:10,12 22:2	65:12,20 75:21 76:1	57:25 60:8 61:11
9:13 48:5 62:19	50:4	76:11,16	65:7,18,20 66:2,10
	l I	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
74:10	decided 10:1 33:2	didn't 15:20 21:6	70:5 71:14 76:7,20
Costello 1:24 80:4,16	62:2	36:8,17 43:11 44:1	77:13,20,20 78:24
couldn't 70:4 75:3	decides 11:9	56:5 61:17 65:1	79:3,6,6,12
counsel 5:10,14 7:10	decision 3:10 12:14	78:21,22	draft 40:19
12:19,20 17:22	16:7,11,22 20:19,23	difference 9:7 25:4	drafting 19:2
18:13-28:18 35:14	21:22 25:3 26:12	different 13:15 29:5	drive-by 57:19,21,22
42:23 43:6 46:2	35:13,18 37:4 39:22	29:7,10,13,20 32:21	drive-bys 57:21,24
47:15 48:17 61:23	40:16 41:3 42:1,13	32:21 33:13	driving 65:24
64:2 73:13 74:3,7	43:5 46:22 50:2,6	difficult 44:14	due 53:13,15
couple 29:7 36:25	50:19,25 52:20	dimensional 3:19	duplicate 26:18
43:14,16 44:11	53:13 54:8,13 55:1	direction 80:8	Dwight 29:14
course 78:24	55:6,8,10,10,12,20	directly 60:22 63:9	P
court 18:6 22:25	56:3 59:25 70:12,13	63:10 66:4	E
33:19,25	70:22 74:11,23	Directors 6:18	E 1:24 80:4,16
coverage 57:9,16,17	decisions 55:17	disagree 54:1	earlier 12:23 23:8
co-applicant 27:16	deed 6:16	disbelieve 8:9	31:21 50:25 51:1
45:15	deemed 55:14	discourteous 77:13	61:4
co-counsel 49:11	deficit 38:23	discover 75:4	early 67:9
cross-examination	delay 23:13	discuss 60:16	east 72:7
34:13	deliberate 15:17	discussion 19:9 47:11	EASTERN 1:9
cross-examine 34:8	16:21 17:4 23:13	51:8	easy 8:20,21 27:4
CSR 1 24 80:17	30:9 55:9	discussions 75:11	Ed 12:16 29:11,16
current 59:24 60:23	deliberated 70:24	dishonest 23:17	45:18 49:14
curtail 12:21	deliberation 12:7,13	disingenuous 23:17	Edison 6:21,23
cut 18:4	41:12 42:24 43:4	dispute 55:7 58:23	Edward 2:9
cuts 29:18	61:13,19,22,24 62:4	disregarded 61:5	effectively 45:3 73:17
Cuttell 2:10 8:22 9:4	71:3	distance 25:6	effort 36:3
9:8,14	deliberations 25:9	district 3:16,23 4:2	efforts 12:22
9.0,14	26:10 28:6 42:18	7:20 18:6 22:25	either 14:23 23:15
D	43:12	documents 26:16,19	53:10 57:3 61:14
Dan 79:11		26:24 64:15 68:19	74:2
date 17:12 26:9,11	delighted 26:15,22 Department 8:16	73:7	election 14:20 52:2
40:14,21,22 41:25	26:20 39:13 64:6,16	doesn't 45:19 46:3	employee 78:16,19
42:11,13 44:7,7	65:5,8,14 66:14,16	58:22 62:3	employees 65:8
47:3 48:15,16,18		doing 13:2 21:14	engineers 29:11
49:24 51:23 52:1,4	66:17,19 68:14,23	40:6 47:17 52:4	ensuing 80:7
53:12:56:3 59:19,24	68:24 69:6,8,10,12	68:6	entertain 27:6
60:4 61:15,16 68:9	77:11 78:10,12,16	dollar 39:8	entire 52:9
68:10,17 71:8 74:23	79:2,10	don't 5:5,13 6:7 8:8,9	equal 69:11
dated 3:11	departments 78:4	8:12,18,22 9:8	equipment 3:15
dates 14:18 19:4 51:5	Department's 77:4	11:19 12:3,10,17	erect 3:12
David 21:5,8 30:13	deposit 39:8	15:4,5,21 16:10,18	erected 57:11
,	deposition 79:17		Eric 2:4 19:25 70:19
46:12,17 61:5 62:16	deposits 39:16	16:20 17:10 18:20	especially 35:23
day 52:15 56:4 61:7	deserves 21:15	18:23 21:9,18,23	
80:6,12	designate 63:16	22:3,3,4,11,11	Esq 2:12,16 essence 4:22 47:20
days 21:21 35:12 44:11 50:24 51:20	desire 51:1	23:14 24:11,18,19	
	determination 45:9	25:16,20,21 26:12	essentially 29:8 43:2
52.10 55.5 11 50.10	10.00 01 77.4	1 96.12 97.34 20.30	1 5(1) 1 / 6(7) 7
52:19 55:5,14 58:19	48:20,21 77:4	26:13 27:24 30:10	50:17 62:2
dead 73:24 74:5,15	determined 73:18	30:12 33:16 34:6	establish 59:19
		1	

	T	T	
evaluating 47:23	fair 21:18,24 40:14	five 19:16 20:6 46:9	give 16:25 21:21
evening 3:2 4:3,4	40:21 59:13 65:10	52:19	27:25 30:17 31:17
11:12 12:7 15:12	65:22,22	flagpole 37:13	43:2 49:25 53:18
23:20	fairness 65:15	flagpole-style 3:12	54:22 56:22 60:17
evening's 12:4	fall 25:7 71:12	flexibility 51:4	72:10 73:3,5,15
event 13:25	falling 25:6	flush 48:3	given 11:22 37:5
everybody 16:5 36:2	familiar 55:3	folder 6:6	61:15
67:16 69:2,4	far 13:6 25:1 27:23	folders 9:14,17	gives 21:1 51:3 57:1
evidence 11:2,4,8	28:5 46:5	follow 48:6	giving 7:4
			10 0
12:2 15:14 16:17,19	fatter 6:13	followed 4:16	glad 5:14
16:24 18:14 21:20	fatuous 19:14	following 54:17	go 5:15 8:15 10:22
24:8,25 25:12,24	feasibility 47:25	follows 49:17	11:1 14:24 21:25
26:1,4 27:20 28:14	February 17:13,14	follow-up 46:14	22:12 33:6,19 34:4
28:20,24 29:2,18,23	50:23 53:1,5 54:13	foolish 64:4	34:16,24 38:19 45:7
30:2,4,12,17 31:23	54:17,21,25 55:25	forced 26:25	47:22 65:14,21
32:4,11,15 33:9	59:24 60:14 70:22	foresee 46:17 47:1	69:20 70:19 74:8
34:5,10 35:24 37:5	74:22	forget 9:1	76:21 77:10 78:5
37:19 41:13,21	feel 15:1 17:7 25:9	form 40:25 45:16	goes 27:24 70:13
42:23,25 56:14,20	34:11,15 41:24	77:8	going 8:16 10:1,4,18
58:2,4,6,10,15,23	feet 60:21 71:12	formal 30:24 39:15	10:20,22 13:4 14:14
58:24 59:4,6,9,9	Fields 31:20 62:9	formally 55:20 75:14	14:14 15:20,25
60:6,10,16 62:2,10	figure 71:7	forward 10:22 47:18	16:10,15 17:16
62:1" 68:2 70:23	file 5:18 7:17 8:14 9:4	73:23	19:11 21:7,16,21,22
evidentiary 13:12	9:5 33:9,20,24	forwarded 66:17	25:8,14 26:8,9 28:6
exactly 27:2 47:17	50:19 55:13,13	four 19:18,19,20,22	31:7,14,16 32:17
56:1. 72:13 76:18	64:15,19 65:7,11,22	19:25 35:19 52:18	33:3,19,25 34:17
Excuse 56:5	68:16 73:10 74:12	frame 36:2 44:6	37:1,2 38:9,12,13
executive 70:12	74:13 77:6,8 78:8	frames 28:5	39:22 40:22 41:9,11
exemplary 67:4	filed 8:6,7,13 18:8	Framingham 80:12	42:4,6,20 46:24
exhibi: 5:4,11,22	31:5 33:23 65:6	frankly 21:18 28:12	47:2 51:14 56:11,12
existing 72:11	74:25 78:15,17,19	45:1 53:22	56:13,14,16,17,19
expect 38:13 65:7	78:19,21 79:3	frequency 29:11	60:3,5 62:13 64:22
expert 29:20,21 36:9	files 8:23 26:18,19	Friday 56:1,2	65:3 67:8,10,17
41:21	64:22 65:3,25 68:23	front 73:9,9.74:25	70:6 73:21,23 74:6
expertise 78:1	68:24 78:14	frontage 3:21	74:18 77:5,6
experts 29:7,9 32:22	filing 18:5 20:22	FUGARAZZO 2:5	Goldberg 2:4 6:4
33:1,4 41:22	50:24 78:23	15:3	7:24 12:3 16:23
expert's 40:8	filled 51:24	full 27:9 33:20 77:16	17:8 19:5,22 27:6
Expires 80:19	filling 51:25	fully 24:21,21 25:1	28:8 31:22 44:25
explaining 5:10	final 12:13 15:22,23	47:23,25 48:3	45:21 47:4 48:13
exploring 47:25	21:7,21 35:18 41:3		
express 31:11,13	41:25 42:13 43:18	fundamentally 49:9 further 11:20 37:3,17	49:21 53:2,7,11
express 51:11,15		1 .	70:17,20 71:4,7
2	43:19 55:1 61:12,13	50:12 74:8	73:11
extension 40:13,25 50:12: 54:16	67:8	Furthermore 77:23	good 3:2 4:2,3,4
	finally 69:18	future 18:25 23:22	19:24 58:14
extensions 20:21	find 25:15 64:5 65:15	47:8	grant 5:17 7:16 35:16
extent 18:4	66:1 77:24 79:12	G	54:16
extra 36:25 47:23	fine 12:9 13:7,9 14:19		granted 18:22 55:14
52:5	23:7 27:5 31:17	gather 36:6	55:19
	34:14 44:12	Gee 65:1	great 23:22 68:6
	finish 5:14 13:17	generally 67:3	Grossman 2:12 11:15
face 18:24	firm 4:6	GENNIS 2:5 32:5	11:16 17:20 18:8,15
fact 4:2/5 10:3 24:11	first 3:6 28:21 36:10	51:3 63:21 74:15	19:18 20:3,8 22:1
25:21 40:7 49:20	36:11,17,18 60:11	Gentlemen 54:12	27:22 28:22 29:9,14
64:5	64:8 78:21	Gerry 29:15	32:6 35:3,8,10
factor 19:4 23:23	fit 40:11	getting 65:24	36:10,15 37:9,16,22

	37:25 38:5,15,24	happened 10:14 38:4	79:5	invoice 38:16,19 39:3
i	39:17 40:2 41:6	42:12 54:6 56:18	helpful 19:3 63:4	invoices 39:15
	42:15 43:8,19,22	happening 10:1 13:8	helping 64:24	involved 16:16
	44:3,10 45:14 46:1	50:15		· ·
	53:9 54:19 56:1	1	helps 27:23	irrespective 48:19,20
	63:3	happens 50:25 59:17	hereunto 80:11	Island 2:17
		hasn't 38:12 53:21	he'll 38:11 41:19 57:4	isn't 10:6 52:19
	ground 3:14	74:25 75:1 79:3	57:5,6	Israels 2:15 4:6
	GRUMBACH 2:3	haven't 18:8 21:8	he's 6:8 21:11,14	issue 23:20 30:22
	3:2 4:7,12,21 5:5,13	22:4 30:13,14 40:7	37:1 38:9 41:21	57:12 66:3,3 73:24
	6:9,15 7:8 8:8,25	46:1 59:15 72:8	53:22 54:3 56:14	74:15 76:9,12
	9:5,12,16 10:12,21	76:14	70:6 76:16	issued 37:11 45:10
	10:25 11:10,13,25	head 24:16,17	Hi 71:9	issues 41:24 56:23
	12:9 13:9,21,25	hear 36:8 56:6 59:5	history 45:20,25	it's 4:2 6:15,16,16,17
	14:9 12,19 15:4,18	61:9 71:14,16	hold 51:2 52:2 60:14	6:19,19,21 8:20,21
	17:10,24 18:12,20	heard 26:23 27:12	holidays 44:16	9:4,5 10:1,9,13
.	19:1 20,24 20:5,8	28:2,9 30:11,13	home 75:3	12:22 13:7 15:13,24
ĺ	20:12,25 21:3 22:17	31:8,21 32:17,25	honor 76:25	19:25 21:18 22:7
-	23:24 24:14,22 25:8	33:4 36:5,15 41:8	hope 18:11,16 19:11	26:7,8 28:10 29:1
- 1	25:20 27:3,13 28:21	46:1 49:22 57:7	hopefully 28:3 63:5	36:14,17,18,21
	29:1:2 30:10 31:3,16	59:16 62:5	hours 36:25	
-1	31:24 32:4,10,16,24	hearing 1:6,18 3:4,6	houses 71:11	40:22 44:14,22 45:8
	33:16 34:2,19,22	3:7 5:7 6:25 7:2		45:8,9 48:9 53:2,12
Į	35:1,4,7 36:4,24	9:22 10:19 11:6,11	hundred 79:8	54:8,9,9 55:14 61:2
- [37:14,19,24 38:3,6			64:22,24 66:8 69:10
-	38:17 39:18 40:3,19	12:1,11,12,13 13:4	idea 38:9 49:3	71:6,14 73:3,18
-	41:1,4,16 42:21	13:16,18 14:4,12,15		74:24 76:11 77:5,14
	43:24 44:9,14 46:23	14:22 15:6,10,23	important 22:7 28:10	77:21,22 79:4
	49:13 50:22 51:14	16:2,4,18,19 17:1	34:16 71:18 76:9,12 76:20	I'd 8:5 15:22 18:1
1	52:25 53:3,17 54:1	17:12 19:7 20:15,16		39:6,19
-	54:12,21 55:2 56:2	20:18 21:6,8 22:9	importantly 72:16	I'll 5:14 33:9 36:19
1		25:10,16,18,23 26:8	impossible 50:17	36:19 77:25
Į	56:5,9,16 57:1,13	27:23 30:16,21 31:6	impression 47:10	I'm 4:5 5:9 8:16
1	57:17,23 58:3,14	31:21,23 32:9,23	including 30:2 54:25	12:19 13:1,5,6 14:7
1	59:1,8,17,23 60:3	35:9,11,18 36:7,11	67:14 69:2	14:13,14 16:19
ı	60:17,25 61:8 62:7	37:1 39:4,6,20,25	incorporate 26:15,22	21:22 23:9,19 25:13
1	62:12:,25 64:8 65:18	41:12,23 42:9,13,24	indicated 7:15,18	27:14 32:13,24 33:5
1	66:2,8 69:4,15,23	43:3,4,9,13,18,20	indicates 28:13	33:17 36:4,24 37:2
ı	70:5,9,19 71:1,5,20	44:15 46:15,18,19	indicating 16:24	37:14 38:7,11,12
1	72:13,21 73:25 74:7	47:7 48:15 51:11,22	indication 47:10 49:3	40:17 41:2,6,14,15
١	75:10,23 76:7,13,19	52:1,4,5,6,6,10,10	information 10:7	42:3,8,19 44:19,25
	76:23 77:1,10,25	52:15,17 55:5,25	11:20 20:11,13	45:12 51:14 53:8,14
1	79:8	57:25 58:16 59:19	24:12,19 25:19	53:20 59:3 60:12,21
ı	guess 10:16 41:6	60:15 61:13,18,20	26:13,23 27:2 46:13	71:9 72:19 77:6
1	67:14	61:22,24,25 62:5,11	56:25 62:22	I've 7:21 45:17 46:2,7
ı	guys 65:23 70:3,3	62:23 67:8,9 68:2	input 35:9 43:3,5	49:22 64:9 67:2,3
l	——————————————————————————————————————	68:11,11 69:1 70:20	62:4	<u> </u>
ĺ		70:25 71:3 75:24	intending 11:3 18:14	<u> </u>
	hadn't 78:23	76:10 79:15 80:6,7	intends 11:1,2 45:7	JAMES 2:3
	hall 21 25 22:12	hearings 3:5 9:9	interested 64:23	January 14:21,23
	hand 80:11	17:22 24:24,25	72:24	15:7 17:12 20:20,21
	handed 68:1	27:18,21 31:25	interpret 14:9 73:12	30:23 31:8,13,15
	hand-in-glove 22:21	35:20 39:5 42:5	73:25	34:3 35:11,17 36:8
	hand-strung 48:22	44:18,20,23 52:18	introduce 56:14 57:9	39:20,23 40:1,14,21
	hang-up 49:23	61:14 65:16 68:1	introduced 29:24	41:8 44:6,7,19
	happen 15:21 42:6	71:23,24,25	investigation 13:13	50:23 51:17 52:5
	46:25,25 47:2 51:1	height 3:22	45:7,8	55:23 58:12,16,20
	55:16 60:11 63:15	help 28:4 77:5 78:13	invite 78:25	60:7,13 74:22 79:16

Joanne 71:12	late 71:15	Maine 6:20	Mike 2:8 24:1 32:17
joined 61:25	latest 9:25 38:16,19	major 42:7	67:14
July 61:11	law 34:1 43:7 52:3	making 24:22	mile 47:23
June 3:11 61:11	55:3,4 75:2	manner 55:21	•
01.11	laws 32:1 43:1	1	mind 60:4
·		map 57:16	minimum 3:20
Kathy 31:19 62:7,8	lawsuit 22:24	maps 57:17	minute 17:21 22:13
	lawyer 24:10	March 22:9	minutes 20:6 46:9
keep (:0:3	Lawyers 23:9	Mark 7:9	misunderstandings
kind 68:18	left 17:4	marked 77:16,18	64:14
knew 16:15 43:13	legal 23:6	married 44:6	money 21:12 38:19
69:24 78:20	letter 3:11 4:17,19,22	Massachusetts 2:13	monopole 3:13 37:17
know 5:5,11 6:7 7:21	5:3,16,20 6:5,10,11	55:3,4 80:1,5,7,18	37:21,23
10:25 12:17 15:19	7:9,14 8:10 13:14	materials 78:8	month 50:6,22 52:19
15:20 17:14 18:23	13:14 30:25 64:1	math 54:19	68:12
21:6,9 22:7 23:7	65:17,19 66:1 72:22	matter 4:2 5:4 18:9	months 16:3,3 22:10
26:11,12 30:16	72:23 76:21	20:22 21:14 38:22	22:23 59:10 75:12
32:24 33:16 40:6	letters 5:21 8:11	38:23 39:6 50:4	morning 75:4
41:19,19,24 42:17	73:14 77:24	66:8 79:4	mouthpiece 46:7
44:17 47:1 53:22	light 9:25 10:3 35:4	maximum 3:22	move 22:8 36:6 44:10
54:2.2 55:16 56:25	35:23 43:5 74:10,17	Maxson 21:5,8 26:4	52:14,21
57:25 58:3,7 62:25	likewise 50:13	30:13 36:12 38:8,16	moving 41:14,16
63:4 67:7,9,10,15	Linda 43:13	41:18 42:1,16,17	moving 41.14,10
67:22 70:5 71:5	line 12:25 37:8 69:19	43:11 44:13 46:12	
72:17 74:6 75:23	69:21 70:2,4,10	46:17 47:12 49:5	name 4:5 19:16 30:18
76:22 78:6,7,23	71:16 72:8	51:4 52:11,12 53:21	31:18 53:18 60:18
79:13	lines 55:11	56:11 58:24 59:14	necessary 3:8 25:12
knows 42:11 57:23	list 63:7 66:16	61:10,18 62:16 63:7	need 16:10,20,21
63:3 67:16	little 9:7 28:5 35:22	64:1 66:5,9,12,14	21:5 33:20 41:20
Koffman 2:4 5:23 6:7	36:2 41:7,14 43:9	67:12,12 68:9 69:18	44:3,4 46:12 52:8
6:13,24 7:7,21 8:1	44:25	70:3	52:20 56:21 58:16
10:18 11:14,22 12:6	live 71:10	Maxson's 36:21 61:6	62:4 71:14
13:1 14:16 17:5,16	LLP 2:12,15	68:19	needed 60:15
19:2 26:14 30:1,7	llth 44:19 59:24	mean 8:25 12:10 16:1	needs 44:10
32:2 38:18,25 40:15	70:22	18:21 27:24 42:15	neighborhood 72:2
40:24 41:2 43:17	located 3:25 7:18	46:4 59:4 63:3 74:1	neighbors 5:1
51:9,16,22 53:12	location 4:24	74:4	never 36:21 39:11
54:24 57:16 58:8	long 7:9 13:7 16:14	Meaning 32:10	new 14:13 19:23
60:12: 63:6,19,23	16:15 36:18 69:25	means 10:22 50:1	57:12 58:2 62:2,10
66:7,13 67:19,24	76:14	55:8 69:7	75:17
68:7 59:21 70:11	longer 10:9 11:1	measured 33:25	newspaper 75:2
73:8 74:21 78:9	look 8:23 9:23 12:22	meet 44:17 52:22,24	nice 70:1
Kreiger 2:12 11:17	32:18 64:19 65:3,21	meeting 1:1 4:10 7:11	night 14:21 16:21
	72:21,22 77:6,8	31:25 43:1,7 50:10	17:1,17 40:17 44:24
L	78:8,25	53:8 54:18 56:15	52:9 61:10 68:6
Lacy 2 16 4:4,5,9,14	looked 9:18 77:22	63:9 76:5	nights 44:17 52:22,23
4:22 5:16,25 7:6,13	looking 57:9 65:25	member 8:4 23:9	nine 58:17 76:22
9:19 10:3,24 11:3	looks 6:16 14:1,19	64:4 66:24	Norma 79:10
11:12 13:10 14:2,8	lot 3:20 6:13 16:16,19	members 5:24 7:3,3	normal 14:21
14:11 15:8 20:9,10	16:20 19:14 21:1		
20:14 21:2 22:14	36:2 60:6 65:4	19:25 49:23 52:23 58:18 69:2	normally 9:1,2 44:17 65:19
28:17,23 32:7 34:25	72:16		
35:8 46:8,10 47:21	love 65:22	memory 29:1 43:8,24 61:21	Notary 80:4,18
53:5 54:14 62:15	1010 03.22		notes 8:18
64:2 ′74:9,16	M	meritorious 34:12	nothing's 78:18
land 3: 15 73:3	mailing 66:23	message 69:6	noticed 75:1
Lane 3 1:20 62:9	Main 2:16	Michael 2:6 19:23	notified 52:14
	TIZHIRE D. TV	Middlesex 80:2	notion 27:7

	November 7:9,11,25	36:21 38:12 40:7	places 26:21	primarily 46:11
	72:22	53:21,23	Plain 12:16 30:20	principal 3:23
	number 4:2,25 5:19	pain 33:18	31:12 53:20 69:17	printed 37:20
	7:18 17:15 23:1,2	panel 19:17	71:10 72:17 73:1	printout 39:12
	23:11 53:20	paper 14:17 39:2	plan 6:15 62:14	prior 6:25 7:2 48:4
	20.11.00.20	papers 33:24 78:13	planning 38:7 59:8	private 72:4
	. 0	79:7	76:8 79:2	probably 19:3 31:12
	object 42:20	Pare 4:7,9 6:12 7:10	players 54:9	45:17 54:4
	objection 58:5	29:11 45:18,23	players 34.9 pleadings 64:12	1
	obviously 16:1,5	47:14 75:11,19	please 14:7 60:18	problem 17:17 20:16 28:18 36:22 41:17
	occupied 38:1			•
	occur 57:10 68:9	part 57:2,5 73:2	point 5:2 12:20 15:8	43:25 47:13 50:15
	occurred 68:8	partial 32:15	17:3 19:5,12,24	50:18 52:8 59:13
	October 4:17 6:5,10	participate 11:23	22:19 23:16,18	78:22
	6:11	particular 11:21 34:6	43:22 47:8 54:5	problematic 41:15,17
	office 30:11	34:12,15 64:12,15	58:14 72:20 74:20	49:1
		72:25 74:14 75:18	points 53:15	problems 17:18
	officially 48:5	76:3,18	pole 5:19 7:18 10:4	64:11
	oh 33:22 34:23 37:24	parties 23:15	48:10 62:20 74:14	procedural 49:1
	okay 4:21 11:13 14:4	Pat 71:9	poles 4:16 10:8 47:24	procedure 13:22
	15:4 22:9,14 23:24	Patricia 2:10	police 37:10	proceeding 10:5
	34:13 36:4 39:17	Patton 19:15,19 20:2	policy 64:17	process 15:15 36:18
	62:6 71:4 75:20,23	53:14,19,19 54:5	polite 79:11	72:20
ļ	once 55:4	69:16,17 70:1,7,16	portion 32:3	produced 58:24
	open 31:25 43:1	pay 21:17 38:14,14	pose 63:1	producing 60:9
-	opinion 31:10 42:22	39:9 53:25	position 10:13 11:18	professional 53:22
-	56:20	payer 78:2 79:13	21:24 25:11,14	80:17
	opponents 60:9 63:15	paying 36:9	34:10 35:21 36:5	promised 39:11
ļ	opportunity 11:23	PCS 1:8	39:24 48:8,23 49:10	properties 3:25 16:8
.	15:12 26:3 34:7	Peggy 53:19 69:15,17	72:3	property 60:20 73:16
	58:13 60:13	penalized 47:20	possible 62:20	76:3,18
	opposed 13:2	pending 73:12	possibly 71:2	proposal 10:23 35:12
-	opposition 60:10	people 9:9 19:16 23:3	Post 3:25 10:23 22:20	proposed 60:21
-	option 10:9 73:18	29:13 32:21 53:25	22:23 31:5 33:21	71:17,21
Ì	options 9:25	55:2,17 63:12 67:6	61:1 71:25 75:16	prospect 12:18
	order 5:3	67:13 72:4 75:8	precipitous 26:7	provide 20:20 39:7
	organization 77:9	78:3	predicament 47:16	46:21
	organized 77:22,23	percent 79:9	prefer 14:24	provided 6:2 64:5
Ì	original 11:18	period 16:14 50:7	preference 72:10	Providence 2:17
	ought 41:3 45:22	permission 55:19	prepare 39:13	public 7:3 8:2,5 11:6
	53:25 78:5	permit 74:11	prepared 11:10 13:5	11:23 12:1 17:25
	outrageous 40:5	permits 3:9	63:7	26:2,24,25 27:5
	outside 34:24 35:2	permitted 3:22	present 2:7 10:7,11	30:3,11,21 31:10,14
	63:8	person 24:24 49:18	11:4 15:13 19:13	32:3,9,23 34:7 42:3
l	overlay 7:19	78:18,22	42:18,22 71:2 76:5	43:3,7 44:15 46:15
Ì	owed 21:12	personally 14:24	presentation 12:5	46:18 51:6,13,18
	owing 36:12	25:22	27:8 28:1,2 41:10	52:10,14 55:4 57:5
1	owner 73:2 76:4	perspective 11:6 16:9	61:6	62:23 63:8,11 64:5
١	owner's 76:3	phonetics 7:10 30:20	presented 15:15	64:15,19,21,24,25
١	o'clock 3:6 15:6	physically 26:17,21	16:25 24:7 35:24	66:20,25 67:6 68:3
1	17:1: 39:20 55:24	50:17 57:20	presenting 11:7	69:9 78:7,25 79:5
1	17.12.55.25.25.2	piece 14:17 17:2 39:1	presumably 31:8	80:5,18
1	P	73:16	63:14 67:8	1
1	packet 6:10,14 7:23	1	1	publicly 75:1
	packets 8:11	pieces 25:24	pretty 16:8	public's 67:21
Į	page 33:22	Pinebrook 24:1	previous 57:14 75:10	pull 78:13 79:6
1	paid 21:15,17 22:4	pipe 46:2	previously 29:23	purpose 9:21 69:22
	para 2 1.13,17 22,7	place 4:11 75:14	59:16	purposes 27:10 28:15
ı		1		

48:18	recite 50:5	required 3:9 45:15	rush 22:11
pursued 22:21	recited 49:21,22	50:6 60:23	
put 11:2 12:2 13:11	recognize 9:22	requirements 3:20	S
18:14 24:7,8,12,12	recollection 43:15,17	requires 26:6	S 2:12
24:19,20 25:18,21	recopy 26:25	requiring 27:7 50:18	safer 78:24
25:24 26:3,21 27:5	record 4:5 6:3 11:16	76:4	SARIAN 2:3 56:4
28:24 30:3,4,12	14:7,8,11 21:23	rerun 54:6	sat 35:3 43:10
33:23 39:2 40:24		reschedule 45:22	satisfy 27:10
	24:8,9,21 32:7 40:3		
41:3 44:9 48:23	40:4,23 53:18 57:2	research 8:23 9:9	save 36:2
58:4 59:9 64:18	57:5 58:2 59:7,11	resident 71:11,13	says 33:19,21,22
69:21 70:2,10	63:12,20,22 64:3	residents 17:19 51:24	52:12 59:15
putting 21:19,24 22:5	66:20 73:22 76:21	72:16	scenario 17:6
34:9 49:10,11	80:9	respect 4:16 7:17	schedule 12:1,7,12
puzzled 44:25	reference 26:15,23	10:8 15:10 20:11,14	14:15 15:5,5,22,23
p.m 79:18	referencing 7:11	20:22 22:19 23:2	17:2,13,23 19:3
	reflect 40:4,4	28:3,19 46:10 47:21	22:6 27:23 34:23
QQ	refused 73:15	48:7 53:16 62:17,19	39:19 44:23 52:17
question 27:14 61:3,9	regard 23:4 76:2 77:3	74:9	scheduled 44:18,20
62:1 66:6 69:17	regarding 35:24	respectfully 28:12	51:23 75:24
70:18 71:18 75:22	regards 60:22	respective 10:9	schedules 35:9
76:23	Registered 80:17	respond 5:20 8:17	scheduling 21:7
questions 33:15 37:2	Reinhardt 2:10 71:9	49:6 62:23 63:5	43:25 61:12
46:14,19 62:16 63:2	71:10 72:12,15 73:6	64:9	school 51:19
63:8 66:16 68:14,20	73:21 74:4 75:6,20	responded 13:15	Schriver 31:19,19
68:22,22 70:2,6	reiterate 28:17	response 45:11 48:21	62:8,8
76:6	relate 23:12 58:22	responsibility 66:22	Scott 2:16 4:5 5:15
quick 42:14 77:1	related 41:23	results 50:13	45:19 46:8
quickly 36:6 38:14	reliance 48:23	re-hear 27:4	scurrilous 23:4,11
quiet 33:10			seal 80:11
quite 8:8 28:12 45:1	relying 47:14 remarks 22:19	re-hearing 27:2	search 72:1
53:21		re-photo 27:1	second 14:22 24:16
33.21	remember 36:11,17	RF 41:21,22,23 56:22	
\mathbf{R}	61:11 68:20 69:18	RFP 37:11	54:5
radio 29:10	render 35:17 37:4	Rhode 2:17	Secondly 21:11
railroad 6:20,22	46:21 55:5 59:25	right 8:17,25 12:6	secretary 70:13
raised 57:11	70:22	15:7 16:9 21:23	Sections 3:18
	rendered 20:19 55:21	24:14 26:13 29:12	see 3:11 5:15 7:4 8:2
raising 5:9	rendering 55:8,10	33:21 60:1 66:7	8:6 9:10 15:24
reach 55:9	reply 70:4	67:14,21 71:21 73:1	23:14 25:15 26:5
read 58:13 63:12	report 9:22 44:4	78:1,7	35:21 38:8 40:11
69:3 72:9 73:6	69:19	Road 4:1 10:23 12:16	42:8 67:24 68:4
real 23:20	reported 1:23 80:7	22:20,23 24:1 30:20	seek 33:3
really 15:20,21 22:3	Reporter 80:17	31:6,12 33:21 53:20	seen 7:21
42:2 44:22 55:18	reports 42:16	60:20 61:1 69:17	Segal 43:14,15
76:14	represent 40:10	71:10,25 72:17 73:1	Seldon 30:19,19 31:9
rear 3 21	representation 18:2	75:17	selectmen 4:18,20,23
reason 42:21 58:5	18:7,17 47:14	roadside 3:20 4:1	5:7,8,19 7:12 31:1
59:13	representations	Robinson 2:9 8:4,20	48:12 73:4,15
reasonable 49:18	22:22	60:19,19 61:2 62:6	Selectmen's 74:16,17
50:7	representative 63:16	76:24,25 77:3,12	send 38:16,18 39:12
reasons 49:20	reproduce 27:1	room 23:4 75:8	39:14
rebut 59:9	request 31:20 39:15	roughly 38:24,25	sense 62:3
rebuttal 34:16	45:10	Route 10:15 72:7	sent 4:11,17 9:3
recall 32:25 48:12	requested 5:17 45:4	routinely 8:12	13:14 65:13,16,17
receive 63:17	47:18 49:8	Rudnick 2:15 4:6	65:19 66:14,18
received 5:6 6:25	requesting 45:4 47:6	rules 7:1	68:15
Recess 20:7 35:6	require 7:1 48:1	run 17:18	sentence 40:25
	~~~~~~ / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A, WARE A 7 + 4 U	

		<del></del>	
separate 24:5 37:12	69:23 70:14	strictly 77:18	talked 35:13 50:8
64:17	Spectrum 3:8	strongest 67:20	talking 14:7 18:12,19
series 72:6	speed 4:23	strongly 13:1	35:11 37:15 52:9
serious 21:14	spending 36:25	stuck 25:16	59:1,3 60:25
serves 51:13,17	spent 16:3	submit 4:15 5:21	tape 49:14
services 3:16	spoken 64:10	11:21 14:3 57:4	tax 78:2 79:13
session 31:14	spring 19:11	58:9,15,17 62:10,13	TCA 24:8
set 15:22,23 17:8	Sprint 1:8 2:17 3:7	67:17 68:10,13,17	telephonic 16:9
37:17 42:12 44:8	4:15 5:17 7:17 9:23	75:17	tell 14:17 21:19,20,22
47:3,7 80:11	9:24 10:1,10,12,22	submitted 4:20 5:3	24:23 30:15 38:13
setback 60:23	10:25 11:3,7,10	6:3 7:2,15 26:17	65:1 71:20 77:25
setbacks 3:21	12:20 13:15 17:11	28:14,20 48:4 58:11	telling 45:12 64:2
seven 58:19	18:3 23:15 25:24	62:18 63:9,10 69:1	temporary 4:13
shake 24:15,17	27:15 28:12,18,23	74:10	ten 22:10 46:9
Shaunt 2:3 56:6	30:24 32:14 33:2	submitting 6:8	Teresa 1:24 80:4,16
Sheila 2:10 8:17	35:14 37:13,20,22	subsequent 30:25	terms 4:24 10:5,10
64:10 79:11	45:3,24 46:3 47:5	substance 73:13	11:5 15:16 30:21
she's 8:18	47:21 56:18 62:9	substantial 59:6	47:23 56:22 64:22
shorten 28:4 35:22	72:23,23 74:11,12	substantive 10:19	test 59:4
shouldn't 27:3	74:19 75:14	13:2 19:8	testimony 29:6,8
show 43:11 44:1	Sprint's 11:6 17:21	sudden 22:10	61:24
showing 13:12	28:16 46:2 48:19	suddenly 75:4	thank 9:19 12:15
side 3:21	Squires 29:15	sued 28:9 45:2	13:19 18:10 20:2
sides 32:21	ss 80:2	sufficient 5:24	23:23 24:22 30:6
sign 40:12 45:16	Stan 2:9 9:18 49:13	suggest 8:15 11:24	34:20 56:6 60:1
signature 45:15	60:17,19 76:23 77:2	14:3 33:12 53:9	69:13 70:16 75:20
similar 16:8	78:1 79:8	suggested 64:1	thanks 79:14
simply 13:5 30:8	stand 27:25 72:18	suggesting 41:2	that's 5:12 8:14 12:9
64:24	stands 72:19	suggestion 49:16,17	13:7,9,19 14:1,2
single 12:4	stansions 11:19	50:3	15:18 16:9 19:24
sit 16: 27:1 28:1	start 25:9	summarize 7:13	21:13,23 23:7,20
site 5:18 10:11 29:5	started 24:2	Sunday 53:7,8	26:6 27:5,22 28:20
74:13	starting 44:21 71:23	support 59:7	28:24 30:2,5 31:7
sites 12:23	State 6:18 52:3	suppose 40:18 59:13	31:17 32:16 34:11
siting 29:4,13	statement 24:23	sure 17:24 22:17 33:5	34:13,17 37:14 38:5
sitting 45:11 49:7,8	states 18:6 22:25 55:4	36:4 38:17 45:13	39:24 40:8 42:2,5
situation 23:5 68:7	status 9:22 10:20	59:21	42:14 43:4 44:7,12
six 42:4	13:5 19:6 40:17	surmising 68:21	46:24,25 51:18 52:2
slightly 29:5	42:9 45:5 47:7,18	Susan 2:4 13:6 19:25	54:23,25 55:10,21
small 38:20	48:15,18,24	67:2	55:23 58:14,24
Smith 67:15	stenographically	suspect 47:11 54:3	59:10,13,18 60:11
Society 23:10	80:8	73:19	62:5,25 65:15 66:2
somebody 23:8 43:25	step 35:1	suspend 22:8	67:1 68:6 71:1
58:4 59:15 61:15,16	Stephanie 30:19	suspended 79:18	72:13,25 76:9,20
78:5	Steve 20:1	F F # / 5 · 2 · 5	78:3 79:3,12
sorry 32:13 60:12	STEVEN 2:5	T	thereabouts 38:22
sort 11:1 23:14 49:1	stipulate 27:8	table 3:19,22	there's 7:8 14:20
72:1	stipulated 41:13	take 10:21 23:16	18:21 19:18,19 26:5
sound 20:25	stipulating 28:23	25:11 42:23 58:6,6	27:4 28:13 33:22
South 2:16 80:2	stipulation 28:14,19	61:23 66:21 68:2	37:6,12 42:6 55:7
SPA7013:18	29:17,22,22 35:23	taken 16:17 24:25	56:20 58:5 59:6
speak 30:22 56:10	stone 17:8 71:6	57:14	72:16 77:7
67:13	stop 67:19	talk 8:15 22:1,13	they're 8:13,14 19:11
speaking 23:19 45:17	stopped 25:17	23:21 34:24 35:4	34:9 62:13 64:23
special 3:8 14:20 76:2	Street 2:13,16 6:18	36:19 60:16 76:17	77:12
specifically 33:18	strenuously 67:25	78:5	they've 33:13 34:9,10
","""	our viruoudiy 01.43	70.0	шсу ус ээ.15 54,9,10

		r	
42:4 74:1	31:11,15,17 32:18	T-Mobile 38:2	23:21 24:9 25:16
thing 16:16 17:9 21:3	40:16 42:8,10 48:5		26:4 30:12 33:13
22:2 23:14 34:2	48:24 56:7 [°] 67:3	U	36:6 40:12,24 42:12
40:5 41:8 46:9	71:14 72:17 73:9	ultimate 59:4	46:24 47:2 54:7
68:18 77:15 78:10	79:15	unavailable 11:19	58:9,11 59:18,21
things 7:1 18:4 25:3	tonight's 4:10 9:21	uncomfortable 40:17	60:5,8 67:7,15 69:7
63:1 64:19 66:20	top 25:7	understand 8:9 15:9	69:9 74:1 77:13
67:24 68:1,25	total 27:9	19:10 22:3,5,11	
think 8:18 11:17,25	totally 23:4	23:5 30:21 54:11	78:9 79:6,6
12:3 13:10 16:10			wanted 4:14 60:16
	tower 1:9 3:13 9:1,7	59:12,20 61:4 66:11 72:12	68:14 75:7,9,14
17:25 18:20 19:1	31:5 37:8 57:10,19		wanting 16:14
21:18,23 22:3 23:12	60:21 71:17,22	understanding 13:10	wants 27:25 58:15
23:22 26:2 27:24	72:18,25 73:20 75:5	15:14 20:17 21:11	74:7
28:10 29:10,21	75:15,18	27:19 43:6 57:13	war 65:23
30:11 31:10 33:3	towers 9:24 10:15	64:10	wasn't 9:17 14:1
34:6,22 36:11 37:21	25:5 56:19 72:5,6	understate 23:5	34:13 36:16 42:18
38:1 40:9 43:13	72:11 75:13,15	understood 66:5	42:21 57:18,20
44:11 49:18,18,22	town 1:1,19 3:17 7:10	75:19	61:10 76:7
51:12,13 54:18,22	7:16 10:4 12:24,25	unfair 40:9 49:9 67:1	way 10:16 14:1 15:12
58:6 59:13 65:2	14:20 37:10 38:20	67:18	25:10 27:4 29:18
66:2,3 67:4,6,15	39:8,11 42:23 43:6	unfortunate 8:14	32:16 33:20 39:25
68:12 71:1 75:7	45:10,10 47:24 48:6	unfortunately 4:9	40:10,20 44:9,11
76:8 9,11,16,19	48:7,8,12 52:2	39:1 48:8	52:21 55:23 72:7
78:6.8 79:4,14	61:23 62:19 70:11	unhappy 50:11	77:7 78:3
thinking 55:11	73:1,14 78:2,4,5	United 18:6 22:25	Wayland 1:19 3:4,17
thinks 37:3	towns 54:3	upset 77:9,20,20	73:2 80:7
third 52:6	town's 48:21 74:11	upsetting 77:15	website 70:14
THOMAS 2:6	transcribed 80:8	urge 50:20,20	Wednesday 15:1
Thorndike 2:13	transcript 32:18,19	use 17:16 28:4 52:9	52:18
thought 15:18 39:2	80:9	63:12 76:3,18	week 44:1,2 52:22
43:12 76:14	transcripts 34:4	uses 3:23	54:17 62:10
thousand 39:8	travel 8:21,21	utilized 28:15	weeks 21:2 42:5 50:8
three 44:20,23 54:22	treat 78:4		50:19 54:22
Thursday 53:2,3,11	treated 24:4	<b>V</b>	weighing 9:24
tight 22:6	tried 43:14,16	variances 3:9	weighs 42:1
time 15:11,12,13,14	true 27:22 36:14,16	various 75:13	welcome 3:3 32:18
16:21 21:1 28:4	79:12 80:9	Verizon 38:2	went 5:6 29:13 31:1
36:1,3,18 44:6	trust 6:18 18:2 29:17	viable 10:16 48:9	63:11 75:3 77:15,19
45:22 46:21 48:2	29:22	73:18	79:9
49:24 50:7,14 51:7	try 13:21 23:15 35:22	view 13:16 23:19	Weston 12:25 37:8
52:20 54:8 55:1	47:22 65:14 66:1	25:21 26:6 40:5	37:10,11 57:11 72:7
60:1 63:17 64:4	trying 15:9 33:17	50:21	we'd 11:20 15:19
66:13 67:16 70:15	Tuesday 3:3 14:25	voice 28:8 51:6	31:15 35:13,14,20
76:15	15:6 39:20 44:17	voices 27:11	35:21 71:2 74:5
timely 19:12 55:21	53:6	voluminous 64:13	we'll 16:21 26:11
times 43:14,16	turned 33:23	65:25	63:1 71:7
timetable 15:9,16	turns 59:14	vote 6:17 28:7 74:17	we're 10:20 16:10
timing 17:22 23:12	two 3:5 16:7 21:2	74:18	17:16 18:18 25:8,14
today 63:4,5	24:2,4,5 25:3,24		26:9 28:5 30:15
told 8:7 46:8 61:23	27:18 37:10,11		31:6,13,16 34:17
65:2 77:20	42:16 50:8,19 51:9	wait 15:19 25:15	35:7,16 38:13 44:5
tomorrow 8:16 79:10	53:15 54:10 64:17	waiting 43:11	44:6,21 47:16,17
tonight 3:5 4:8 10:19	71:11 77:16	wake 75:3	52:3,4,5 55:15
12:11,21,23 13:3	typewriting 80:8	want 9:10 12:2 15:21	56:16,17,19 59:5,21
1	typical 44:22	17:13,25 18:24	60:3,5 68:20 72:24
	typically 8:12,13	21:25 23:6,14,18,21	74:5
	-1 Linearia Citrata	, -y y	77.0
<u> </u>			

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
we've 13:3,21 16:16	you'd 22:12	25:5 27:9 33:2,9	4th 51:17
22:9 24:4,12 25:18	you'll 59:5	35:25,25 38:23	43 2:13 53:20
28:2,9 30:11 33:4	you're 7:4 18:13	41:10 42:18 58:11	1 0 2.15 05.20
42:15 45:2 46:4	21:16,19,20,24 22:5	63:7 71:24 77:17,19	5
48:23 55:22 64:11			5/29/09 80:19
	22:10 32:18 36:7	77:19	
what's 7:4 10:14 13:8	40:6 45:16 59:1,8	137's 26:18	50 72:4
13:12 15:25 47:2	60:25 64:2 65:3	14 1:20 3:1 21:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
67:10,17	78:1,2 79:13	35:12 55:5,14	6
Wheelock 60:20	you've 67:4 68:5	14th 3:3 80:6	63:18
WHEREOF 80:11	•	<b>145</b> 71:10	6th 53:5,7
Where's 4:7	Z	150 71:12	600 38:24
whichever 29:18	<b>ZBA</b> 1:18 71:17,21	151 30:20	00000.21
who's 77:5	71:22	17 7:9	7
		1	<b>7:30</b> 44:21
willing 12:21 35:16	zoning 1:1 3:4,17	17th 7:25 50:23 52:13	<b>701.1</b> 3:19
44:5 49:25 50:12	5:18 7:17 9:9 10:6	53:1,11 54:13 72:22	
winding 30:16	60:24 66:15,21 72:9	<b>18th</b> 3:11 14:23,25	<b>702</b> 3:19
winter 71:22	72:10 74:12,14,19	15:7,11 17:12 20:15	
wireless 1:8 2:14 3:13	80:6	20:17,18 30:8 31:8	8
3:14,15 7:19 11:17		32:8 34:3,17 35:11	8 24:1
37:12 45:14	\$	35:18 36:8 37:2	8th 7:11 17:13 54:17
withdraw 75:16	\$316.876 38:21	38:9,10 39:21 40:1	<b>8:00</b> 3:6 15:6 17:11
witness 34:12,16	\$650 38:23	41:8,11,15,16 44:7	39:20 55:24
76:17 80:11			8:30 75:25
	#	44:16 46:13,16,18	801 3:19
witnesses 33:7,13,14	#1452S98 80:17	49:19 50:5,7,10,16	<b>802</b> 3:22
34:6	#1432390 60.17	50:23,24 52:12,16	802 3.22
wonder 49:15	0	53:24 54:16 55:24	9
wondering 77:6		57:6 58:12,16,19,25	_ ·
won't 33:11 79:5	02141-1764 2:13	59:14 60:6,13 62:24	9 60:20
word 16:10	02903 2:17	70:21,24 71:8 74:22	<b>9:38</b> 79:18
words 63:25	03-34 4:2	79:16	900 60:21
work 13:22 44:5		<b>1962</b> 6:21	900-foot 60:23
workers 78:11	1	1965 6:21	
worst 17:5	1001 3:23	1983:17	
wouldn't 28:18 36:22	<b>1001.2</b> 3:23	1203:17	
53:9,23,24 70:1	<b>1002</b> 3:24	2	
	<b>1002.1</b> 3:24	<b>2003</b> 3:12	
wrangling 55:22	<b>1002.1.7</b> 3:24		
write 16:11,22 50:1	<b>1002.2</b> 3:24	<b>2004</b> 1:20 3:1,3 7:9	·
52:19 54:7 55:12,13	11th 51:23,25 54:18	7:11 80:6,12	
74:2 76:20		201.1.5 3:18	
writing 5:20 14:4	54:21,25 55:25	<b>203401</b> 3:18	
57:3	58:20 60:14 70:25	<b>25th</b> 14:21 52:1 68:12	
written 35:13 39:23	74:22 76:22	<b>26th</b> 14:23,25 52:17	
42:16 44:4 58:15	<b>12</b> 51:20	71:3 80:12	
61:16	<b>120-foot</b> 3:12	<b>27</b> 10:15 72:7	
wrong 44:19 61:7,15	<b>121</b> 2:16	28th 4:17 6:5,10,11	
61:16 65:2	<b>131</b> 5:19 7:18 10:4	14:15 51:11	·
wrote [6:6 64:1	30:25 48:10 71:13	11.15 51.11	
66:18	73:8 74:24 75:2	·	
00.10	<b>135</b> 3:25 10:23 11:23	3 31:20 62:9	
	18:9 22:23 24:7,13		
]	24:20 25:5 26:17	30 50:24	
yard 3 21		30-some-odd-page	
year 6::12	27:10 31:5 33:2,9	16:7	
years 24:2	33:21 36:1 37:4	<b>31st</b> 35:17 39:23	
year's 14:13,18	61:1 71:24 75:16	40:14,21 44:6 46:20	
yelling 67:20	77:17,19		,
yesterday 8:6 77:15	<b>137</b> 22:20 24:13,20	4	
	-		
<u> </u>			
¥ 7177			